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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of the Southern Nevada HOV Plan Update (Plan Update) is to update the plan for high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) freeway facilities in Southern Nevada (Las Vegas Valley or Valley). The original Southern Nevada HOV Plan (Original Plan) determined the usefulness of implementing HOV facilities in the Las Vegas metropolitan area in alleviating expected future congestion in the region’s roadways. The Original Plan was completed in June 2007. This Plan Update accounts for several changes that have occurred since the Original Plan. Changes include:

- Implementation and programming of the highest priority elements of the HOV system recommended in the Original Plan,
- Updates to the Regional Transportation Commission’s (RTC) Regional Travel Demand Model (incorporating the mode-choice element),
- Update of the Nevada Department of Transportation’s (NDOT’s) Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual.

ES.1. HOV System Evaluation

The regional HOV system planning process involved an evaluation of candidate HOV lane corridors and HOV direct-access ramps from around the Valley. The evaluation criteria follow the guidance provided in NDOT’s Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual. The evaluation criteria includes:

- Congestion and Bottlenecks
- HOV demand
- Travel time savings
- Transit service
- Available space
- Connectivity/Continuity

The evaluation included both quantitative and qualitative assessment of the candidate facilities. Multiple scenarios of the RTC Model were developed and operated to assist in the quantitative assessment. In addition to this, other readily available information including, existing traffic counts, transit route information, existing and planned park-and-ride lots, availability of right-of-way, geometric feasibility of improvements, and public, private and agency stakeholders’ inputs were used in the system evaluation. The evaluation focused on developing recommendations for the near-term (year 2018 – year 2025 timeframe) and the long-term (year 2025 – year 2035).
### ES.1.1. Evaluation of HOV Lane Corridors

Following the recommendations of the Original Plan, HOV lanes have already been implemented along US 95 from S. Rancho Drive to Ann Road and Summerlin Parkway from US 95 to Buffalo Drive. The US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project (planned to be completed by year 2020) will extend the HOV lanes from Ann Drive to north of Elkhorn Road. Project Neon (planned to be completed by year 2018) includes implementation of HOV lanes along I-15 from the Sahara Avenue Interchange on the south to the I-15/US 95/I-515 Interchange (the Spaghetti Bowl) on the north. The HOV system evaluation reexamined these HOV lane corridors to validate the completed/planned improvements and the need for additional long-term additional improvements.

The results of the evaluation indicate that the following freeways have high potential for successful HOV facility implementation:

- I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to Lake Mead Boulevard
- I-515 from I-215 to I-15
- US 95 from I-15 to Elkhorn Road
- I-215 from I-15 to I-515
- CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway
- Summerlin Parkway from US 95 to Rampart Boulevard

The segments of I-15 from I-215 to US 95/I-515, US 95 from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway, and I-215 from I-15 to the Airport Connector, warrant multiple lanes HOV treatment by year 2035.

### ES.1.2. Evaluation of Direct-Access Ramps

Following the recommendations of the Original Plan, the Summerlin HOV Flyover has been implemented, connecting the US 95 HOV lanes (from/to south) to Summerlin Parkway. Project Neon includes the construction of direct-access flyover ramps (Project Neon HOV Flyover) connecting the existing HOV lanes on US 95 and the planned HOV lanes on I-15. Project Neon also includes the construction of direct-access local drop ramps (Project Neon HOV Gateway) to a new local street between Oakey Boulevard and Charleston Boulevard. In addition to these, the city of Las Vegas intends to lead the effort to provide the Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps on US 95.

Expanding upon the Original Plan’s evaluation of direct-access ramps along the I-15 resort corridor, this Plan Update evaluated potential direct-access ramp locations along all the freeways in the Valley. The results of the evaluation indicate that the following direct-access ramp locations have high potential for successful HOV facility implementation:
• Along I-15
  o Blue Diamond Road (to/from the north - from/to the west)
  o Hacienda Avenue (to/from the south)
  o Harmon Avenue (to/from the north)
  o Meade Avenue (both directions)
  o I-15/I-215 interchange direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west)

• Along other freeways
  o Maryland Parkway on I-515 (both directions)
  o Smoke Ranch Road on US 95 (both directions)
  o Elkhorn Road on US 95 (to/from the south)
  o Airport Connector on I-215 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
  o Sunset Road on CC-215 (both directions)

ES.2. HOV System Recommendations

Based on the results and findings of the evaluation, the following are recommended (including facilities that have already been constructed and facilities that are programmed for construction) for the Near-Term system (shown in Figure ES-1):

HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) in the Near-Term system:
  • I-15 from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to US 95/I-515
  • US 95 from I-15 to north of Elkhorn Road
  • Summerlin Parkway from US 95 to Buffalo Drive

Direct-access ramps in the Near-Term system:
  • Project Neon HOV Gateway
  • Project Neon HOV Flyover
  • US 95/Summerlin Parkway HOV Flyover (opened to service in 2012)
  • Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps (to/from the south) on US 95
Figure ES-1: Proposed Near-Term HOV System
The following are recommended for the Long-Term system (shown in Figure ES-2):

**HOV lanes in the Long-Term system:**

- I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to I-215 with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-15 from I-215 to US 95 with two HOV lanes in each direction
- I-15 from US 95 to Lake Mead Boulevard with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-515 from I-215 to I-15 with one HOV lane in each direction
- US 95 from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway with two HOV lanes in each direction
- US 95 from Summerlin Parkway to north of Elkhorn Road with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-215 from I-15 to I-515 with one HOV lane in each direction except for the segment between I-15 and the Airport Connector which has two HOV lanes in each direction
- CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway with one HOV lane in each direction
- Summerlin Parkway from US 95 to Rampart Boulevard with one HOV lane in each direction

**Direct-access ramps in the Long-Term system (in addition to the locations recommended for the Near-Term system):**

**Direct-Access Local Drop Ramps:**

- Blue Diamond Road on I-15 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Hacienda Avenue on I-15 (to/from the south)
- Harmon Avenue on I-15 (to/from the north)
- Meade Avenue on I-15 (both directions)
- Maryland Parkway on I-515 (both directions)
- Smoke Ranch Road on US 95 (both directions)
- Airport Connector on I-215 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Sunset Road on CC-215 (both directions)

**Direct-Access Flyover Ramps:**

- I-15/I-215 interchange direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west)
The proposed Long-Term HOV system is not the ultimate HOV system for the Las Vegas Valley; future studies focused on a planning horizon year beyond year 2035 would reevaluate the freeway corridors for additional/alternate HOV lane implementation and direct-access ramp locations. Direct-access ramp locations and corridors where HOV lanes are not proposed for the year 2035 system might warrant HOV treatments by this longer-term horizon year (beyond year 2035).

Figure ES-2: Proposed Long-Term HOV System
ES.3. HOV System Implementation Phasing Plan

For this Plan Update, the Priority Area was defined to include I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to US 95/I-515 and US 95/I-515 from Rancho Drive to Charleston Boulevard. HOV system implementation phasing recommendations are made separately for the Priority Area and the rest of the Valley. Table ES-1-1 shows a summary and timeline of the proposed HOV improvements for the Priority Area.

Table ES-1-1: Phasing Plan and Timeline of HOV Recommendations for the Priority Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Year</th>
<th>HOV Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Neon Opening (2018) | ❖ Project Neon HOV Flyover - one lane in each direction  
❖ Project Neon HOV Gateway - one-lane ramps  
❖ Convert one of the I-15 express lanes in each direction to HOV lanes from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to Sahara Avenue; the second express lane on I-15 between I-215 and Sahara Avenue becomes a general-purpose lane  
❖ Provide one HOV lane in each direction within Project Neon |
| 2025                | ❖ Add a second HOV lane in each direction on I-15 between I-215 and Sahara Avenue (4GP+2HOV)  
❖ Add an HOV lane in each direction on I-15 from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to St. Rose Parkway  
❖ Extend the second HOV lane on I-15 to the Project Neon HOV Flyover |
| 2030<sup>1</sup>    | ❖ Improve the HOV flyover to accommodate two lanes in each direction.  
Alternately, this could be done with the improvements listed for year 2025 |
| 2035<sup>2</sup>    | ❖ Extend I-515/US 95 HOV lanes from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Charleston Boulevard – one lane in each direction |

<sup>1</sup> By year 2035, the HOV flyover requires two lanes in each direction; while one lane in each direction is adequate in year 2025. The year 2025 and year 2035 demand forecasts were interpolated to estimate the year in which the demand would exceed the one-lane threshold, i.e., the year in which the facility would need to be improved to two lanes in each direction. The result was year 2030.

<sup>2</sup> Alternatively, this could be implemented concurrent with any improvements on this section of I-515.
Table ES-1-2 shows the proposed phasing plan of HOV lanes outside the Priority Area. In general, HOV lanes are recommended to be added to the freeways in the Valley, prior to the addition of any general-purpose lanes. Therefore, implementation of HOV lanes can be opportunistic and need not necessarily follow the order of implementation shown in Table ES-1-2.

**Table ES-1-2: Phasing Plan of HOV Lanes (Outside the Priority Area)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Implementation</th>
<th>HOV Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Add a second HOV lane in each direction on US 95 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Summerlin Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on I-215 from I-15 to the Airport Connector (two lanes in each direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on I-515 from Charleston Boulevard to I-215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on I-15 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Lake Mead Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on I-215 from the Airport Connector to I-515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on Summerlin Parkway to Rampart Boulevard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Lower number to be implemented first.

Table ES-1-3 shows the proposed phasing plan of direct-access ramp (excluding existing and programmed) recommendations. Similar to the other long-term elements of the HOV Plan, these direct-access ramps may be designed and constructed opportunistically (need not necessarily follow the order of implementation shown in Table ES-1-2) when other projects at/near these locations are programmed and developed.
Table ES-1-3: Phasing Plan of Direct-Access Ramp Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Implementation</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Along Freeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I-215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I-515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>US 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CC-215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Lower number to be implemented first.

ES.4. HOV Direct-Access Ramp Implementation Cost Estimates

Planning level cost estimates were prepared for the direct-access ramp locations on I-15, and are summarized in Table ES-1-4. Estimated costs are in year 2014 dollars, and include contingencies for items that were not designed or determined at the time of the preliminary layout.

Table ES-1-4: Planning Level Cost Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOV Direct-Access Ramp Location</th>
<th>Estimated Improvement Cost (Year 2014 Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hacienda Avenue and I-15 (ramps to/from the south)</td>
<td>$11,568,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon Avenue and I-15 (ramps to/from the north)</td>
<td>$9,175,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## HOV Direct-Access Ramp Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOV Direct-Access Ramp Location</th>
<th>Estimated Improvement Cost (Year 2014 Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-15 and I-215 Interchange (ramps to/from the north - from/to the east and ramps to/from the north - from/to the west)</td>
<td>$100,112,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade Avenue (ramps to/from both directions)</td>
<td>$25,507,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Diamond Road and I-15 (ramps to/from the north - from/to the west)</td>
<td>$24,481,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ES.5. HOV System Operational Plan

#### ES.5.1. Near-Term Operational Recommendations

Operational recommendations are made for the Near-Term System. Operational components such as minimum vehicle occupancy, hours of HOV operation, vehicle eligibility and access type were studied and the proposed recommendations are summarized in Table ES-1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table ES-1-5: Near-Term Operational Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum occupancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transit buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-occupant low-emission and energy-efficient vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ES.5.2. Proposed Ingress/Egress Locations for the Near-Term System

Proposed ingress/egress locations for the Near-Term System are shown in Figure ES-3. The proposed locations allow the required weaving distance to/from the ramps per *NDOT Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual* (minimum of 800 feet per lane change). However, during the design stage, weaving analysis using operational analysis tools is required to confirm and more clearly define the ingress/egress locations.

Figure ES-3: Proposed Ingress/Egress Locations
ES.6. Next Steps

A successful HOV program in the Valley will require that ongoing freeway design projects incorporate HOV facilities. Upcoming major investment and corridor studies where the Plan Update recommends HOV facilities should actively include HOV facilities among corridor alternatives prior to the addition of general-purpose capacity improvements. There are various ongoing and planned projects encompassing major improvements along the freeways within the proposed HOV system. Each of these projects is at a different stage of design and at a different point in the environmental process. Each project has to be reviewed to determine if any changes based on the HOV plan are required. NDOT has the responsibility of coordinating the integration of HOV facilities into all freeway and corridor projects on the national highway system.

The current RTP (adopted December 13, 2012) incorporates HOV improvements based on the recommendations from the Original Plan. The projects recommended by this Plan Update should be included in the next round of RTP projects. Once included in the RTP, NDOT leads the identification and inclusion of HOV projects in the RTC’s Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and NDOT’s State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

An effective public outreach framework to gain public acceptance and understanding of HOV lanes is key to the successful implementation of the recommendations made in this Plan Update. As part of this Plan Update, a public information and education strategy was developed for the conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes. The outreach for the conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes will be an extended effort, requiring proactive education, coordination with corridor stakeholders, users and adjacent projects. The outreach and education component of this conversion should be initiated early to build understanding with the stakeholders that continues to implementation.
1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Southern Nevada HOV Plan Update (Plan Update) is to update the plan for high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) freeway facilities in Southern Nevada (Las Vegas Valley or Valley). The original Southern Nevada HOV Plan (Original Plan) was completed in June 2007. Several changes have occurred since the Original Plan. First, elements of the HOV system recommended in the Original Plan have been constructed or have become part of the programming for freeways and ancillary facilities. Second, the Regional Transportation Commission’s (RTC) Regional Travel Demand Model has been updated with the mode-choice element and released in 2012; the new RTC Model has improved HOV forecasting capabilities. Third, Nevada Department of Transportation’s (NDOT’s) Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual was updated in year 2013; the new Manual includes updated planning, operations, design, and implementation criteria for HOV lanes. With these changes, an update to the Original Plan was necessary to reset priorities and account for current realities.

This Report documents the Plan Update. Section 1 (this section) provides background information. Section 2 documents the framework for the evaluation process to identify corridors for HOV lanes and locations for direct-access ramps. Section 3 documents the traffic modeling and forecasting process. Section 4 presents the findings and recommendations from the evaluation process and the proposed HOV system for the near-term and the long-term. Section 5 summarizes the feasibility checks, conceptual design and preliminary cost estimates completed for select recommended direct-access ramps. Section 6 presents the recommended operational plan for the Near-Term System. Section 7 lists the next steps to advance the HOV Plan including recommended public outreach activities.

1.1. Need for HOV Lanes

Managed lanes, of which HOV lanes are one form, are a way to increase mobility by managing the use of highways. HOV lanes are dedicated to the exclusive use of high-occupant vehicles, including buses, carpools, vanpools, or a combination thereof, for at least a portion of the day.1

Many communities within the Las Vegas Valley have experienced traffic growth far outstripping the growth in roadway capacity. The population of Clark County is projected to grow by 42 percent between year 2013 and year 20352, with a consequential increase in traffic on the area’s roadways. Nevada residents consistently identify traffic congestion as a serious issue.

---

1 NDOT’s Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual (2013) is the resource for information on definitions, types, features, and benefits of managed lanes including HOV lanes.

2 The Center for Business and Economic Research at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.
facing the region, much of which is caused by single-occupant vehicle (SOV) trips. According to the 2010 American Community Survey, SOV trips account for 76.5 percent of all work trips in the Las Vegas Valley. By comparison, carpools currently constitute approximately 11.6 percent of all work trips. Limited right-of-way, limited funding, the federal Clean Air Act requirement limitations on traditional roadway expansion, and federal funding provisions often restrict the ability to expand infrastructure to accommodate roadway demand volumes. To better position NDOT to receive federal approval and funding of its projects, congestion management and operational approaches must be considered as means to ensure that new, large-scale transportation projects maximize mobility benefits while minimizing negative impacts.

HOV lanes are a congestion management strategy that enhances mobility for travelers willing to carpool and use transit. The objective of HOV lanes is to provide facilities with higher speeds and less delay by limiting the volume of traffic (and congestion) that occurs within them. Unless there are time savings associated with traveling in the HOV lanes, there is little incentive to use them. Therefore, HOV lanes work best when they are uncongested and the adjacent general-purpose lanes are congested.

There are two major potential benefits of HOV lanes. First, they increase the person throughput (i.e., the number of persons passing a fixed point along the freeway) on a congested freeway by increasing the number of persons in each vehicle in the HOV lane. Carpools form in response to the presence of an HOV lane and its faster and more reliable travel times. The carpool members travel faster and more efficiently while removing some vehicles that would otherwise be in the general-purpose lanes, thereby freeing up some capacity in those lanes for other vehicles. Second, and perhaps more importantly, HOV lanes have a higher vehicle throughput than congested general-purpose lanes. When properly managed, more vehicles can travel in an HOV lane than in a congested general-purpose lane. A freeway lane operating at capacity will handle approximately 2,000 vehicles per hour. However, when demand exceeds that capacity and heavy congestion and jammed conditions ensue, a freeway lane processes as few as 900 vehicles per hour. Managed lanes, such as HOV lanes, manage or limit the number of vehicles in the lane so that demand is kept below capacity, thereby avoiding saturation and jammed conditions. In that way, the vehicular throughput of an HOV lane is managed so that it is higher than the throughput of an adjacent congested general-purpose lane.

The choice of HOV lanes over other forms of managed lanes for the Las Vegas area is based on a number of factors. HOV lanes are already implemented in the US 95 corridor. The traffic forecasts of HOV utilization on the freeway HOV lanes included in the HOV plan are substantial enough to provide both good utilization of the lanes and a sufficiently extensive system to noticeably improve travel times for the longer distance travel needed to encourage carpool formation. Implementation of HOV lanes is generally simpler than the implementation of other types of managed lanes. Except for continued enforcement, and periodic monitoring to confirm
the absence of congestion, little is needed in addition to the initial capital investment in the facilities. In contrast, toll lanes require extensive electronic systems for toll collection and dissemination of electronic toll tags for motorists to place in their vehicles. High-occupancy toll (HOT) lanes that provide access to HOV lanes by SOVs for a fee require more extensive monitoring to assure that traffic volumes are not permitted to reach congested levels. Exclusive truck lanes and express lanes require substantial through volumes of these vehicles, which would not address the largest component of traffic in the Las Vegas Valley focused on the resort corridor.

1.2. Existing Managed Lanes in Southern Nevada

The first HOV lanes were opened in Nevada as part of the 2006 reconstruction and widening of US 95 north of I-15 in Las Vegas. Today, US 95 HOV lanes stretch approximately 10 miles in each direction from S. Rancho Drive to Ann Road. The lanes are restricted to HOV vehicles of two or more vehicles (HOV 2+) during the periods of 6 to 10 AM and 2 to 7 PM. The HOV lanes are separated by a solid white line from the general-purpose lanes (i.e., contiguous) and they can be accessed at any point (i.e., continuous access). An HOV flyover that connects the US 95 HOV lanes (from/to south) to Summerlin Parkway was opened in 2012. This flyover connects to the HOV lanes on Summerlin Parkway, which extend only to the next interchange - Buffalo Drive.

In 2010, express lanes along I-15 between Sahara Avenue and Silverado Ranch Boulevard opened. There are two express lanes in each direction between Sahara Avenue and I-215 and one express lane in each direction between I-215 and Silverado Ranch Boulevard. They were placed in operation as an interim improvement until more extensive improvements including the HOV system can be implemented. Therefore, the existing express lanes are intended for conversion to HOV lanes. This Plan Update addresses the timing of this conversion.

Figure 1-1 illustrates the existing US 95 HOV lanes and the I-15 express lanes.
Figure 1-1: Existing Managed Lanes in Southern Nevada
1.3. Planned HOV Lanes

RTC’s Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) includes a number of projects that incorporate HOV elements. For example, the I-15 South Project (I-15 from Sloan Road to Tropicana Avenue) includes HOV lanes. Similarly, future improvements listed for the I-515 corridor includes HOV lanes. These and other projects with HOV elements in the RTP are based on the recommendations from the original HOV Plan and are being reevaluated in this Plan Update. There are, however, two projects, Project Neon and the US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project, that are already in the design stage and programmed to be built within the next five years. This Plan Update, therefore, assumes the HOV elements from these two projects to be in place and does not reevaluate their need.

Project Neon:

Project Neon extends along I-15 from the Sahara Avenue Interchange on the south to the I-15/US 95/I-515 Interchange (the Spaghetti Bowl) on the north. Project Neon will be built in phases. The first phase is planned to open in year 2018. HOV lanes on I-15 are planned within Project Neon. As part of the first phase, direct-access flyover ramps (Project Neon HOV Flyover) are proposed that would connect the existing HOV lanes on US 95 and the planned HOV lanes on I-15. Additionally, direct-access local drop ramps are proposed to a new local street between Oakey Boulevard and Charleston Boulevard, approximately where Wall Street crosses under I-15 (Project Neon HOV Gateway). This Plan Update does not reevaluate the need or location for the Project Neon HOV Flyover and the Project Neon HOV Gateway. However, the required number of HOV lanes on the Project Neon HOV Flyover, the Project Neon HOV Gateway ramps and the I-15 mainline are evaluated in the Plan Update. Project Neon will be the first project to implement HOV lanes on I-15 and will include converting the existing I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes to provide a continuous HOV system through the Resort Corridor. The Plan Update also evaluates this conversion including the number of lanes to be converted.

US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project:

This has been an ongoing project with several elements completed. It includes improvements to US 95 corridor from Washington Avenue to Kyle Canyon Road. The recent (year 2013) extension of HOV lanes to Ann Road was part of Phase 1 of this project. Phase 2A, which is planned to be completed by year 2020, will widen US 95 and extend the HOV lanes from Ann Drive to north of Elkhorn Road. The City of Las Vegas is a partner in this Project. City of Las Vegas in partnership with NDOT proposes to construct direct-access local drop ramps to connect Elkhorn Road and the extended US 95 HOV lanes to serve the Centennial Hills Transit Center (includes 900 park-and-ride spaces) and surrounding land-uses.
2. REGIONAL HOV SYSTEM PLANNING PROCESS

A regional HOV planning process involves an evaluation of the potential for HOV lanes based on a review of existing and forecast travel conditions when compared to a set of baseline and forecast transportation improvements in the regional plan. The purpose of the evaluation is to determine if specific conditions, including the presence of congestion, travel time benefits, and demand are present to make HOV lanes appropriate. Evaluation is typically qualitative, involving input from a wide range of stakeholders. NDOT’s Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual provides regional-level evaluation criteria for managed lanes. The criteria from the Manual are included in Table 2-1 for reference purposes; more detailed information on each criterion can be found in the Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual.

Table 2-1: Regional Evaluation Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Thresholds to be Met</th>
<th>Input or Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congestion</td>
<td>Corridors that experience average speeds below 35 mph for several hours during each commute period for the opening year and/or planning horizon year</td>
<td>Speeds and the volume-to-capacity ratios (v/c) from available traffic data and the regional model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottlenecks</td>
<td>Locations where speeds fall below 35 mph for several hours during each commute period for the opening year and/or planning horizon year</td>
<td>Speeds and v/c from available traffic data and the regional model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Savings and Trip Reliability</td>
<td>Accrued travel time savings on a given freeway route of 3 minutes minimum per trip. An accrued travel time savings of 5 minutes per trip is desirable between major origins and destinations</td>
<td>Output from the regional model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trip reliability improvement potential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Service</td>
<td>Minimum number of buses or established ridership for existing and future transit services and plans (based on local policy). Generally, at least six buses/hour are needed to justify a bottleneck bypass or direct-access ramp</td>
<td>Transit agency route system and service plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Thresholds to be Met</th>
<th>Input or Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Potential for bus operating time savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Patterns</td>
<td>❖ Average trip distances on freeways are at least 5 miles or more</td>
<td>❖ Select link analysis from the regional model or from an origin/destination survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Trip affinities exist for specifically-defined employment generators (e.g., there is a minimum of a 20 percent corridor demand exiting to a specific employment generator during the AM peak hour)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV Lane Demand</td>
<td>❖ Meets minimum demand thresholds shown in Table 2-2</td>
<td>❖ Demand from the regional model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Demand from the regional model</td>
<td>❖ Sketch planning output based on available occupancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Sketch planning output based on available occupancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Space</td>
<td>❖ Opportunity to widen a roadway based on cursory investigations</td>
<td>❖ As-built roadway plans or programmed plans and studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Opportunity to modify a roadway through minor changes in geometrics or design exceptions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity / Continuity</td>
<td>❖ Segments critical to an overall network</td>
<td>❖ Demand output from the regional model and select link analysis for identified high volume movements between corridors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ Key links through interchanges or with major activity centers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❖ The candidate HOV lane is part of a longer facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2-2 presents the minimum and maximum volume thresholds for the managed lane demand criteria. The number of required HOV lanes depends on these thresholds. Since the primary goal of HOV lanes is to provide travel time savings and travel time reliability to HOVs, a maximum “per lane” volume threshold is required so that the lane(s) do not become congested. Conversely, a minimum “per lane” volume threshold should be met in the opening year to justify the restricted use of the facility and ensure public acceptance of the HOV lanes.
Table 2-2: Vehicle Volume Operating Thresholds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Vehicle Volume Threshold (vehicles/lane/hour)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent or reversible</td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraflow (borrowed lane in off-peak direction separated by barrier)</td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway-to-Freeway direct-access flyover ramps</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct-access local drop ramps* and queue bypass lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Does not apply to ramps used only by buses, such as ramps from a transit center.


The Original Plan applied these criteria to each freeway corridor in the Valley, using available data at the time, for potential HOV implementation. In addition to the recommended segments for corridor HOV lane treatments, the Original Plan identified specific high volume movements for direct-access consideration. HOV direct-access reduces weaving across the general-purpose lanes and provides time savings for HOVs. There are two types of direct-access ramps: direct-access local drop ramps and direct-access flyover ramps. Those that link freeway HOV lanes directly to the arterial system are referred to as direct-access local drop ramps and the ones that link HOV lanes at two different freeways at a freeway-to-freeway system interchange are referred to as direct-access flyover ramps.

This Plan Update reevaluated the HOV corridors and direct-access ramps recommended in the Original Plan to determine if the recommendations are still valid with the RTC’s Regional Travel Demand Model with the Mode-Choice element. The projects that have been constructed (such as the Summerlin Parkway Flyover), the projects that are programmed to be constructed (such as Project Neon), and the year 2013 update to NDOT’s Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual has been updated since the original Plan. Thresholds for certain criteria have changed with the new update.
Manual which has updated planning, operations, design, and implementation criteria are taken into account in the reevaluation. This Plan Update also evaluated other direct-access ramp locations in addition to the ones recommended in the Original Plan. The evaluation process was based on both the regional-level evaluation criteria shown in Table 2-1 and the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2. The application of the RTC’s travel demand models to develop the year 2025 and year 2035 traffic forecasts (general-purpose and HOV lane) that were used in the evaluation process are discussed in Section 3. As part of the evaluation process, these forecasts were compared against the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2. The HOV system evaluation process is explained in detail in Section 4.1. For direct-access ramps, preliminary level geometric evaluation was also performed to ensure that each recommended location would work within the available right-of-way; this is discussed in Section 5.
3. TRAFFIC FORECASTING

Traffic forecasts for the Plan Update are based on the RTC’s Regional Travel Demand Model with the Mode-Choice element (RTC Model) released in 2012. The Original Plan used the Travel Demand Model RTC 2004 Update Package 1. The calibration of this prior model version was based on the 1996 household survey. Since then, RTC’s adopted travel demand model has been updated with Mode-Choice modeling capabilities. The model has also been recently recalibrated with 2005 household survey data, 2005 transit on-board and visitor survey data, and 2005 counts. Several features, such as area type model elements, truck model elements, planning variables, highway networks, and transit coding, have also been updated. The improved RTC Model is a planning tool for producing multimodal travel demand forecasts, and this Plan Update is its first use with a focus on HOV lane demand.

3.1. Modeling Overview

The technical memorandum that documents the review, refinement, and application of the RTC Model is included in Appendix A (Traffic Forecasting Memorandum). An overview is provided below.

The RTC Model for year 2013 (base year model) was reviewed for its capabilities regarding HOV forecasts. The intention of the review was to understand the HOV features of the RTC Model, and to identify if any minor refinements could further improve its HOV forecasting abilities. These refinements were considered, discussed, and documented. A Model Task Force (MTF) was convened to oversee the modeling review, refinement, and application process. The MTF membership included representatives from NDOT Traffic Information Division, and representatives from RTC modeling staff. The MTF met as needed throughout the modeling phase of the Plan Update. Minutes of the MTF meetings are included in the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum in Appendix A).

RTC provided the RTC Model\(^4\). Operation of the model assumed three feedback iterations in TransCAD Version 4.8 Build 575. The model was operated for the years 2013 (base year), 2025 (interim year), and 2035 (horizon year).

The RTC Model has the structural elements for forecasting HOV traffic. It responds to changes in inputs affecting HOV forecasts, yielding generally intuitive results at the regional scale. However, at the level of detail of individual road segments, the year 2013 model over-projects traffic volume on the US 95 HOV lanes. It should be noted that at the time of calibration of the model, HOV lanes were not yet in existence on US 95. The current field conditions of the

---

\(^4\) TRUCKS_FINAL_RTC2009_v48_Build575_07_25_2011.RSC
general-purpose lanes on US 95 are not heavily congested, and, therefore, the observed HOV lane usage is relatively low. The model, however, places a somewhat equal loading of traffic per lane between the general-purpose lanes and the HOV lane. Similar results are seen in the year 2035 model; the model places a generally equal amount of per lane traffic on the HOV lanes as it does on the adjacent general-purpose lanes.

To address the general over-projection of HOV lane traffic, several potential strategies were considered for use in the model refinement. The purpose of the refinement was to adjust the model to produce a better representation of the travel patterns observed from the traffic count data. Refinement options that would require a major reworking of the main components of the model were not performed. To retain the integrity of the adopted RTC Model, the identified refinement strategies were related to network characteristics and time-of-day distribution. The following list summarizes the final set of refinements implemented in the RTC Model for its application to improve its forecasts of HOV traffic for the Plan Update.

- Reduced lanes on the HOV ingress/egress links from two-lane directional to one-lane directional
- Reduced HOV link capacity from 1,950 vehicles per hour per lane (vphpl) to 1,500 vphpl
- Reduced HOV ingress/egress capacity from 2,000 vphpl to 1,500 vphpl
- Adjusted time-of-day distribution
- Made the HOV link speed equal to the freeway speed

### 3.1.1. Year 2025 Modeled Network

The year 2025 Model was coded to reflect the following:

- Project Neon P3 Phase was coded based on the available design plans. This includes the Project Neon HOV Flyover and the Project Neon HOV Gateway described in Section 1.3.
- The HOV system was assumed to extend from US 95 at Elkhorn Road through I-15 to south of St. Rose Parkway, as single lanes in each direction except for two HOV lanes in each direction on I-15 between US 95 and I-215.
- Direct-access local drop ramps were assumed at Elkhorn Road on US 95.
- HOV lane restrictions were assumed to be during the AM and PM peak periods only.

The modeled year 2025 HOV network is depicted in Figure 3-1.
Figure 3-1: Year 2025 Modeled HOV System
3.1.2. **Year 2035 Modeled Network**

The year 2035 Model was first coded to reflect three different HOV system scenarios; the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum (Appendix A) describes each. The Traffic Forecasting Memorandum (Appendix A) also presents the year 2035 forecasts developed for HOV Scenario 2. Based on the regional HOV system evaluation process and based on project team meetings and stakeholder comments, a recommended year 2035 HOV system (HOV Scenario 4) was established. The recommended system includes select elements from the original three scenarios. These changes resulted in a mismatch between the modeled Scenario 2 and the recommended system. Therefore, the recommended system (HOV Scenario 4) was remodeled to develop the corresponding year 2035 forecasts. In other words, modeling of year 2035 conditions was an iterative process that involved four different model runs to identify the most desirable HOV system for year 2035. The year 2035 forecasts for the recommended system (HOV Scenario 4) is presented in the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum – Addendum (Appendix B). The recommended year 2035 HOV network modeled as Scenario 4 is described below and depicted in Figure 3-2.

- All year 2025 improvements
- HOV lanes on the following facilities:
  - I-15 – From south of St. Rose Parkway to north of the I-15/US 95/I-515 Interchange (Spaghetti Bowl) – two HOV lanes in each direction between I-215 and US 95/I-515
  - I-515 – From I-215 to I-15
  - US 95 – From I-15 to Elkhorn Road – two HOV lanes in each direction between I-15 and Rainbow Boulevard
  - I-215/CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) – From I-515 to Summerlin Parkway – two HOV lanes in each direction between Airport Connector and I-15
  - Summerlin Parkway – From US 95 to Rampart Boulevard
- Direct-access local drop ramps at:
  - Blue Diamond Road (to/from the north - from/to the west)
  - Harmon Avenue (to/from the north), Hacienda Avenue (to/from the south)
  - Meade Avenue
  - Maryland Parkway and I-515
  - Smoke Ranch Road
  - I-215 and Airport Connector (to/from the north - from/to the west)
  - Sunset Road and CC-215 Southern/Western Beltway
- Direct-access flyover ramps at:
- I-215/I-15 Interchange (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Project Neon HOV Flyover (each connection two lanes)

Figure 3-2: Year 2035 Modeled HOV System
3.2. Traffic Forecasts

Raw model volumes were used to develop AM and PM peak hour volume forecasts following NDOT’s *Traffic Forecasting Guidelines* (2012); details of the forecasting methodology are included in the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum (Appendix A). The year 2025 forecasts were used to identify recommendations for the year 2018 to year 2025\(^5\) timeframe (Near-Term System) and year 2035 forecasts were used to develop recommendations beyond year 2025 (Long-Term System). The Near-Term System is expected to have restricted access to HOV lanes through limited ingress/egress locations, (see Section 6.1 for more information), thus, the year 2025 forecasts are based on limited access. Year 2035 forecasts were generally based on continuous access to capture more HOV eligible vehicles in HOV lanes, to better reflect HOV demand, and to plan accordingly for the Long-Term System. Limited access causes some of the HOV eligible vehicles to stay in general-purpose lanes due to limited ingress/egress locations.

Traffic forecasts were first developed for the *Priority Area* for both year 2025 and year 2035. The *Priority Area* includes I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to US 95/I-515 and US 95/I-515 from Rancho Drive to Charleston Boulevard (Figure 3-3). These limits were identified as “priority” because NDOT has upcoming projects (such as Project Neon) and studies within these limits and desires to ensure each project/study uses the same set of traffic forecasts\(^6\). Year 2025 and year 2035 traffic forecasts for the *Priority Area* are included in the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum (Appendix A) and the Traffic Forecasting Memorandum – Addendum (Appendix B) respectively. In addition to the forecasts developed for the *Priority Area*, year 2035 forecasts were also developed at representative locations along all the freeways in the Valley to aid in the HOV system evaluation process. Year 2035 forecasts along freeways outside the *Priority Area* is shown in Appendix C. Figure 3-4 shows a less detailed version of the year 2025 forecasts. Year 2025 forecasts are developed to provide an estimate of HOV use associated with upcoming near-term projects and for phasing of the improvements within I-15. Year 2035 forecasts for select locations are presented in Figure 3-5 and Figure 3-6. Figure 3-5 shows the year 2035 forecasts for the *Priority Area*; Figure 3-6 shows the year 2035 forecasts along freeways outside the *Priority Area*.

---

\(^5\) Year 2018 is the assumed opening of Project Neon for this Update, which is the first upcoming project to implement HOV elements on I-15. Therefore, year 2018 is the beginning of the near-term timeframe.

\(^6\) Both the year 2025 and year 2035 forecasts for the *Priority Area* are approved by NDOT and can be used on other projects and studies within these limits.
Figure 3-3: Priority Area
Figure 3-4: Year 2025 Forecasts for the Priority Area
Figure 3-5: Year 2035 Forecasts for the Priority Area
Figure 3-6: Year 2035 Forecasts outside the Priority Area
4. **HOV SYSTEM PLAN**

The recommended HOV Plan for Southern Nevada (HOV System Plan) is divided into two major timeframes.

- **Near-Term System:** Represents the HOV system for the year 2018 – year 2025 timeframe. Project Neon’s first phase is planned to open in year 2018 and will be the first project to implement elements of the proposed HOV System on I-15.

- **Long-Term System:** Represents the HOV System between year 2025 and the horizon year 2035.

The regional HOV system planning process is explained in the next section. The long-term desired HOV system was determined based on this evaluation. Depending on the immediacy of the need for HOV treatments, recommendations for the Near-Term System were developed. For the *Priority Area* (Figure 3-3), recommendations are proposed for both the near-term and long-term. For the rest of the Valley, recommendations are proposed for the long-term.

4.1. **HOV System Evaluation Criteria**

The HOV System evaluation was based on the regional-level evaluation criteria shown in Table 2-1 and the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2. The evaluation process reexamined the HOV corridors and direct-access ramps identified in the Original Plan and other direct-access ramp locations in addition to the ones recommended in the Original Plan. The following criteria were used in the evaluation.

- **Congestion and Bottlenecks:** The presence of severe and recurring congestion indicates that HOV facilities may be appropriate for a corridor. The existence of bottlenecks likely point to the need for some managed lane treatments, such as direct-access ramps to provide a bypass for eligible vehicles. Overall, I-15 (between I-215 and US 95/I-515) is forecast to continue to be the most congested corridor in the region through year 2035. But, US 95/I-515, I-215/CC-215 are also forecast to experience varying levels of congestion. Speeds and the volume-to-capacity ratios (v/c) from travel demand models were used as a measure of the expected congestion and bottlenecks along the various corridors. All these corridors have segments that meet or exceed the threshold for the presence of congestion by 2035, with peak period travel speeds falling below 35 mph. Traffic bottlenecks or congestion points cause significant delays and travel time unreliability.

- **HOV demand:** Existing and estimated levels of HOV demand in a corridor provide information on the potential use of an HOV lane. HOV demand represents one of the
most important criteria because demand ultimately drives lane justification and utilization. Minimum demand is critical to determine a facility’s success in its opening year because the public’s perception of how successful an HOV lane is operating is dependent upon the number of vehicles using the lane. At the same time, high levels of demand for the HOV lane might result in the HOV lanes becoming congested and ineffective; additional HOV lanes were considered in these cases. The year 2025 and year 2035 forecasts developed as explained in Section 3 were used in the evaluation.

- **Travel time savings:** Research suggests that commuters increasingly shift their travel patterns (to use HOV lanes) when HOV facilities along a freeway or sequence of routes generate increasing levels of travel time savings. In other words, HOV facilities that offer higher travel time savings are more desirable than facilities that offer lower travel time savings. Travel time savings due to the introduction of HOV facilities, predicted by travel demand models were used in this evaluation. Since the length of the various study freeway corridors are different, the absolute travel time savings along a corridor were normalized to travel time savings per mile of the corridor.

- **Transit service:** The existing and future potential for transit service on a candidate corridor was used as an indicator of the need for an HOV lane. Bus volumes could justify some type of HOV lane treatment, particularly at bottlenecks. Current RTC transit services use freeways for some routes in a limited fashion, with the most recent service expansion improvements related to bus rapid transit operations along selected major arterial corridors. HOV lanes best serve express bus services in which large portions of the service routing takes place on the freeway network. The best markets for express bus service lie far enough away from major employment centers that travel savings can be gained to support mode shifts to transit. Express bus services rely on park-and-ride lots to aggregate enough demand to justify the service, typically of a sufficient size and critical demand service area to support fully loaded buses on a regular headway of about 15 to 20 minutes during the peak commute hours. This service level is not possible for many corridors today, but it could exist in the future along some radial corridors. RTC’s existing express bus service routes, the Centennial Express and the Westcliff Airport Express travel along freeways and rely on park-and-ride lots to aggregate demand. Existing and planned transit service, transit service potential, park-and-ride lots along the corridors were all considered in this evaluation.

- **Available space:** HOV lanes are to be provided either by widening the affected route or by modifying the existing roadway lanes and shoulders to provide for added capacity. The availability of right-of-way (ROW) in a corridor for the introduction of HOV lanes was considered in the evaluation. Availability is assumed to be easiest in yet-to-be-
constructed roadway corridors and those undergoing planning studies that will result in ROW acquisition. Available space assumes the possibility to restripe inside shoulders and perhaps narrow some lanes to add HOV lanes in isolated pinch points as design deviations or exceptions. Available space is much more difficult in corridor segments where recent construction has been completed and the pavement fills up most of the current ROW.

- **Connectivity/Continuity:** The success of an HOV lane system may be enhanced if it is part of a larger system. A specific link in a regional system may affect, or be affected by, other links. Key movements in the system will likely require connectivity between corridors to serve high levels of HOV demand and to maximize the mobility benefits to HOV users transitioning between corridors. Through traffic movements at major interchanges are often subject to delays and offer the opportunity for substantial time savings to HOV users who can avoid merging and diverging in the adjacent freeway lanes. Consideration was given to those HOV lane segments that are critical to an overall network plan. Key links needed through interchanges or with major activity centers were identified and considered during the evaluation.

As explained above, travel demand model outputs were used, when available, for the evaluation of these criteria. As explained in Section 3.1.2, four different year 2035 HOV system scenarios (Build Alternatives) were modeled initially with different combinations of HOV lane corridors and direct-access ramp locations. To aid in the HOV system evaluation process, a year 2035 No-Action model was also developed. This No-Action model network included only the HOV facilities included in the year 2025 RTC model; none of the HOV facilities planned (in the RTP) to be implemented between year 2025 and year 2035 were included in this No-Action model. The desirability of the implementation of HOV facilities was determined based on the outputs from this No-Action model and from a comparison of the outputs between the No-Action and the HOV system scenarios (Build Alternative) models.

### 4.2. Evaluation of HOV Lane Corridors

Table 4-1 shows the evaluation thresholds for the implementation of HOV lanes; the desirability of HOV lanes along each of the freeway corridors in the Valley was identified by evaluating each corridor against these thresholds. Table 4-2 summarizes the findings of this evaluation. These findings and the recommendations proposed, based on these findings, are described in the following sections.
### Table 4-1: Criteria Thresholds for the Evaluation of HOV Lane Corridors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desirability</td>
<td>Desirability</td>
<td>Desirability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![ desirability高 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability中等 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability低等 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability低等 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>![ desirability高 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability中等 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability低等 ]</td>
<td>![ desirability低等 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion/ Bottlenecks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeds &lt; 25 mph</td>
<td>25 mph &lt; Speeds &lt; 35 mph</td>
<td>35 mph &lt; Speeds &lt; 45 mph</td>
<td>45 mph &lt; Speeds &lt; 55 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 &lt; v/c</td>
<td>0.9 &lt; v/c &lt; 1.0</td>
<td>0.8 &lt; v/c &lt; 0.9</td>
<td>0.7 &lt; v/c &lt; 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV Lane Demand</td>
<td>1,650 vph &lt; Demand</td>
<td>1,200 vph &lt; Demand &lt; 1,650 vph</td>
<td>700 vph &lt; Demand &lt; 1,200 vph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Time Savings (per mile in seconds)</td>
<td>20 secs &lt; TTS</td>
<td>15 secs &lt; TTS &lt; 20 secs</td>
<td>10 secs &lt; TTS &lt; 15 secs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Service</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW acquisition has already cleared the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedures</td>
<td>Sufficient ROW generally available without substantial impacts</td>
<td>ROW proposed to be acquired for other freeway improvements (not including HOV)</td>
<td>Sufficient ROW generally not available without substantial impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity/ Continuity</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>Congestion/Bottlenecks</td>
<td>HOV Lane Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>South of I-215</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Between I-215 and US 95/I-515</td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Between US 95/I-515 and CC-215</td>
<td><img src="image17.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>North of CC-215</td>
<td><img src="image25.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image26.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>Between I-15 and Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td><img src="image33.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image34.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>Between Summerlin Parkway and CC-215</td>
<td><img src="image41.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image42.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>North of CC-215</td>
<td><img src="image49.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image50.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-515</td>
<td>Between I-15 and Charleston Boulevard</td>
<td><img src="image57.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image58.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-515</td>
<td>Between Charleston Boulevard and I-215</td>
<td><img src="image65.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image66.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-515</td>
<td>South of I-215</td>
<td><img src="image73.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image74.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-2: Evaluation of HOV Lane Corridors – Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Speeds</th>
<th>Volume / Capacity (v/c) Ratio</th>
<th>HOV Lane Demand</th>
<th>Travel Time Savings</th>
<th>Transit Service</th>
<th>Available Space</th>
<th>Connectivity/Continuity</th>
<th>Corridor Summary (Average)</th>
<th>Corridor Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>Between I-15 and Airport Connector</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>Between Airport Connector and I-515</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-215</td>
<td>Between I-15 and Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-215</td>
<td>Between Summerlin Parkway and US 95</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-215</td>
<td>Between US 95 and I-15</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td>Between US 95 and Rampart Boulevard</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td>Between Rampart Boulevard and CC-215</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The findings from the evaluation of HOV lane corridors are summarized in the following sections.
4.2.1. I-15

I-15 is key to the regional freeway system, particularly between I-215 and US 95/I-515 adjacent to the Las Vegas Strip. I-15 represents the core of the regional HOV system.

Along I-15, south of I-215, moderate to high levels of congestion are expected, with bottlenecks near the I-15/I-215 interchange. South of St. Rose Parkway, the year 2035 HOV demand on I-15 is expected to be low and do not meet the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2 for HOV lanes. Currently, within this corridor, the I-15 express lanes (one lane in each direction) extend between Silverado Ranch Boulevard and I-215; these could be converted to HOV lanes without the need for additional right-of-way. This corridor in summary, indicates moderate to high desirability for the implementation of HOV lanes.

Between I-215 and US 95/I-515, I-15 achieves the highest desirability ratings in the region for most criteria, as shown in Table 4-2. In this corridor, HOV demand, congestion, bottlenecks, transit service potential and connectivity/continuity considerations are the highest in the region. The directional year 2035 HOV demand is forecast to exceed 3,000 vph during the peak hours, which is almost twice the optimal capacity for an HOV lane. At this level of demand, it will be necessary to have a multi-lane HOV treatment for this corridor.

In general, the HOV demand and the presence of congestion are moderately low on I-15 north of US 95/I-515; any congestion present in this corridor is localized to segments near the I-15/US 95/I-515 interchange. On I-15, north of Lake Mead Boulevard, the year 2035 HOV demand volumes are low and do not meet the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2 for HOV lanes. In the year 2035, North 5th Street will be continuous across I-15 and will be a continuous north-south multimodal super arterial, accommodating automobiles and transit service. The I-15/Lake Mead interchange offers easy access between I-15 and North 5th Street; hence, Lake Mead Boulevard is a logical terminus for the HOV lanes on I-15.

Direct-access ramps are appropriate along I-15 to accommodate the high levels of HOV demand. Direct-access treatments include the direct-access flyover ramps at the I-15/US 95/I-515 interchange, the I-15/I-215 interchange and direct-access local drop ramps to arterial streets. The evaluation of these are described in Section 4.5.

4.2.2. US 95

HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) exist today along US 95 from S. Rancho Drive to Ann Road; HOV lanes are also planned to be extended along US 95 to north of Elkhorn Road.

The results of the evaluation indicate that the highest desirability for HOV lanes exist in the corridor between I-15 and Summerlin Parkway. In this corridor too, the 2035 HOV demand
exceeds 3,000 vph during the peak hours, and the presence of congestion and capacity bottlenecks highlight the desirability of HOV facilities. At this level of demand, it will be necessary to have a multi-lane HOV treatment for this corridor. The primary movement for HOV to/from US 95 at the I-15/US 95/I-515 interchange is from/to I-15 south, necessitating the provision of HOV direct-access flyover ramps to serve this demand. However, sufficient demand is also forecast for the through movements between US 95 and I-515 to justify the provision of continuous HOV treatments between these freeways.

HOV demand along US 95, between Summerlin Parkway and CC-215 Northern Beltway remains high enough to warrant one HOV lane in each direction. Bottlenecks and congestion are expected mainly in the vicinity of the US 95/Summerlin Parkway interchange. This corridor achieves moderate HOV desirability as shown in Table 4-2. However, high demand and bottlenecks in the southern end of the segment validate the need for the Summerlin Parkway HOV Flyover, which has already been constructed and is in operation.

North of CC-215, HOV demand along US 95 is substantially reduced, and congestion is not currently forecast. Consistent with this forecast, the US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project for the extension of HOV lanes along US 95, terminates the HOV lanes north of Elkhorn Road. The planned direct-access local drop ramps at Elkhorn Road also make this a logical terminus for the HOV lanes.

4.2.3. **I-515**

The I-515 corridor between Charleston Boulevard and I-15 is characterized by high forecast HOV demand and moderate presence of congestion. High levels of congestion are concentrated along I-515 between I-15 and the downtown interchanges. The results of the evaluation indicate a moderate ranking overall for the desirability of HOV lanes in this segment. This corridor is a key link between I-15, US 95, and downtown Las Vegas. The provision of HOV lanes in this segment would provide a logical connection between the higher-ranked facilities described previously and the employment and transit service center of downtown Las Vegas. However, physical limitations exist to providing HOV lanes along this corridor. Implementation of HOV lanes is to be prioritized over the additional of general-purpose lanes; the reconstruction of I-515 would raise the desirability of HOV lanes.

Between I-215 and Charleston Boulevard, forecast HOV demand along I-515 is moderate to high, with the presence of congestion, bottlenecks and travel time savings potential also being moderate to high. Overall, this segment achieves a moderate to high rank. Contiguity with the previously described segment in the north and connectivity to I-215 in the south make this segment suitable for HOV lanes.
South of I-215, presence of congestion, bottlenecks, and HOV demand are low; HOV lanes are not warranted by year 2035.

4.2.4. **I-215/CC-215**

The I-215 corridor between I-15 and the Airport Connector represents the next most critical link (after I-15 and US 95) with a high presence of congestion, bottlenecks, HOV demand and travel time savings potential. The highest HOV demand and congestion along I-215 is expected in the vicinity of the McCarran International Airport, with year 2035 HOV demand sufficient to warrant two HOV lanes (in each direction) at this location. The high HOV demand in the vicinity of McCarran International Airport also suggests that direct-access local drop ramps between the HOV lanes on I-215 and the Airport Connector would be beneficial. Combined with the direct-access flyover ramps between I-215 and I-15, and the direct-access local drop ramps along I-15 to the arterial streets near the Las Vegas Strip, significant time savings could be realized by HOV users. Typical HOV users for these facilities include transit service providers and private taxi and shuttle service providers traveling between the airport and the employment and entertainment opportunities along the Las Vegas Strip.

Along I-215, between the Airport Connector and I-515, the forecast HOV demand, presence of congestion and bottlenecks is moderate to high. Overall, this segment achieves a moderate desirability. Contiguity with the previously described segment to the west and connectivity to I-515 make this segment suitable for HOV lanes.

The segment of CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway is characterized by moderate to high levels of congestion and HOV demand. The southern beltway segments of CC-215 (particularly near the I-15/I-215/CC-215 interchange) are forecast to have high levels of congestion, bottlenecks, and HOV demand. This corridor ranks moderately for HOV desirability.

The remaining segments of CC-215 north from Summerlin Parkway to US 95 and from US 95 to I-15 rank low compared to the other corridors analyzed. The low presence of congestion and few bottlenecks minimize the potential for time savings to attract HOV use of a dedicated lane, thereby minimizing the need for HOV lanes along these corridors.

4.2.5. **Summerlin Parkway**

Comparatively low levels of congestion and few bottlenecks along this corridor minimize the potential effectiveness of HOV lanes. The HOV demand is particularly low west of Rampart Boulevard, and do not meet the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2 for HOV lanes. The resulting travel time savings due to the implementation of HOV lanes are also expected to be minimal. Summerlin Parkway between US 95 and Rampart Boulevard achieves
a moderate rank for HOV desirability because of the ability to provide connectivity to the HOV lanes on US 95 and the ability to bypass bottlenecks near the US 95/Summerlin Parkway interchange. However, this segment should represent a lower priority for implementation compared to other recommended HOV segments. Summerlin Parkway between Rampart Boulevard and CC-215 achieves low HOV desirability.

4.3. Priority Area Recommendations for HOV Lanes

The Priority Area includes I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to US 95/I-515 and US 95/I-515 from Rancho Drive to Charleston Boulevard (Figure 3-3). Priority Area includes Project Neon and the I-15 “Gap” (here defined as the stretch of I-15 from I-215 to Sahara Avenue). Appendix D is the technical memorandum that documents the recommendations and implementation plan for HOV improvements within Project Neon limits; and how these improvements would tie into the US 95 HOV lanes and to the I-15 express lanes. Details of the analysis and recommendations, including evaluation of general-purpose lanes, are included in the technical memorandum; below is a summary of recommendations.

For the Near-Term System, one HOV lane in each direction is recommended through Project Neon limits. It is proposed that one of the I-15 express lanes in each direction from Sahara Avenue to Silverado Ranch Boulevard be converted to an HOV lane at the time of Project Neon Opening. This would result in a 4 general-purpose + 1 HOV (4GP+1HOV) configuration along the “Gap”. The Project Neon HOV Flyover at the Spaghetti Bowl is proposed as one lane in each direction for the near-term to connect to the one HOV lane (in each direction) along US 95. HOV lanes are not recommended on I-515 for the Near-Term System.

For the Long-Term System within the Priority Area, two HOV lanes in each direction are recommended through the Project Neon limits. The Spaghetti Bowl HOV flyover is proposed as two lanes in each direction as well. Along I-15, two HOV lanes are recommended from I-215 to the Project Neon HOV Flyover. This would require addition of a second HOV lane within the “Gap” (4GP+2HOV). One HOV lane in each direction is recommended to be added south of Silverado Ranch Boulevard to St. Rose Parkway. Additionally, within the Priority Area, one HOV lane in each direction is recommended along I-515 from I-15 to Charleston Boulevard (outside of the Priority Area, for the Long-Term System, HOV lanes on I-515 are recommended to continue to I-215; this is discussed in detail in the Section 4.4).

Table 4-3 presents a summary and timeline of the proposed HOV improvements for the Priority Area. Direct-access ramps (except for the ones within Project Neon) are addressed in Section 4.5.
### Table 4-3: Phasing Plan and Timeline of HOV Recommendations for the Priority Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Year</th>
<th>HOV Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Neon Opening (2018)** | - Project Neon HOV Flyover - one lane in each direction  
- Project Neon HOV Gateway - one-lane ramps  
- Convert one of the I-15 express lanes in each direction to HOV lanes from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to Sahara Avenue; the second express lane within the “Gap” becomes a general-purpose lane  
- Provide one HOV lane in each direction within Project Neon |
| **2025** | - Add a second HOV lane in each direction on I-15 between I-215 and Sahara Avenue (4GP+2HOV)  
- Add an HOV lane in each direction on I-15 from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to St. Rose Parkway  
- Extend the second HOV lane on I-15 to the Project Neon HOV Flyover |
| **2030** | - Improve the HOV flyover to accommodate two lanes in each direction. Alternately, this could be done with the improvements listed for year 2025 |
| **2035** | - Extend I-515/US 95 HOV lanes from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Charleston Boulevard – one lane in each direction |

---

1 By year 2035, the HOV flyover requires two lanes in each direction; while one lane in each direction is adequate in year 2025. The year 2025 and year 2035 demand forecasts were interpolated to estimate the year in which the demand would exceed the one-lane threshold, i.e., the year in which the facility would need to be improved to two lanes in each direction. The result was year 2030.

2 Alternatively, this could be implemented concurrent with any improvements on this section of US 95/I-515.
4.4. Valley-Wide Recommendations for HOV Lanes

Outside the Priority Area (Figure 3-3), the following are the recommended HOV improvements for the Near-Term System.

- Along US 95, extend the HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) from Ann Road to north of Elkhorn Road.7
- Implement the Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps (to/from the south) along US 95.8

Other freeways in the region that are outside of the Priority Area are recommended for long-term implementation9. Based on the HOV system evaluation, the following HOV lane improvements are recommended:

- Extend the HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) on I-15 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Lake Mead Boulevard.
- Extend the HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) on I-515 from Charleston Boulevard to I-215.
- Add a second HOV lane in each direction on US 95 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Summerlin Parkway.
- Implement HOV lanes on I-215 from I-15 to I-515 (one lane in each direction except for the segment between I-15 and the Airport Connector, which warrants two lanes in each direction).
- Implement HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) on CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway.
- Extend the HOV lanes (one lane in each direction) on Summerlin Parkway to Rampart Boulevard.

The proposed phasing plan of HOV lanes, showing the order of implementation of HOV lanes outside the Priority Area is shown in Table 4-4. After the near-term implementation of the HOV

---

7 This improvement is programmed for completion by year 2020 as part of the US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project.
8 The city of Las Vegas intends to lead the effort to provide the Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps on US 95 within the year 2025 timeframe.
9 Other freeways that are outside of the Priority Area are not warranted for new HOV implementation in the near-term. Additionally, NDOT does not have resources to implement HOV lanes outside the Priority Area in the near-term.
lanes, the valley-wide improvements are recommended to be completed per the following phasing plan.

Table 4-4: Phasing Plan of HOV Lanes (Outside the Priority Area)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Implementation¹</th>
<th>HOV Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Add a second HOV lane in each direction on US 95 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Summerlin Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on I-215 from I-15 to the Airport Connector (two lanes in each direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on I-515 from Charleston Boulevard to I-215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on I-15 from the Project Neon HOV Flyover to Lake Mead Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Implement HOV lanes on I-215 from the Airport Connector to I-515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Extend the HOV lanes on Summerlin Parkway to Rampart Boulevard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Lower number to be implemented first.

In general, HOV lanes are recommended to be added to the freeways in the Valley, prior to the addition of any general-purpose lanes. Therefore, implementation of HOV lanes can be opportunistic and need not necessarily follow the order of implementation shown in Table 4-4.

4.5. Evaluation and Recommendations for Direct-Access Ramps

Along the HOV system, locations of high HOV volume ingress/egress were evaluated for direct-access consideration. Direct-access ramps reduce weaving by HOVs across the general-purpose lanes from the median HOV lane to the outside general-purpose lane in advance of an exit ramp. They also reduce the weaving move for HOVs entering the freeway, crossing the general-purpose lanes, and entering the median HOV lane. Additionally, HOV direct-access ramps linking freeway HOV lanes and arterial roadways can provide time savings to HOVs. The Original Plan studied the desirability of direct-access ramps along I-15, within the Resort
Corridor. This study builds upon the findings of the Original Plan and evaluated additional direct-access ramps along I-15 and the other freeways in the region as well.

Table 4-5 shows the evaluation criteria thresholds for the implementation of direct-access ramps; the desirability of each direct-access ramp was identified by evaluating against these thresholds. Table 4-6 summarizes the findings of this evaluation. These findings and the recommendations proposed are described in the following sections.

As discussed earlier, the Project Neon HOV Flyover and HOV Gateway were assumed to be in place in the evaluation of other direct-access ramps. Additionally, the proposed direct-access local drop ramps at Elkhorn Road on US 95 were assumed to be in place by year 2025. All other proposed direct-access ramps are to be implemented beyond year 2025 (i.e., Long-Term System). Their need in the year 2018 – year 2025 timeframe was not justified.

Table 4-5: Criteria Thresholds for the Evaluation of Direct-Access Ramps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Desirability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottlenecks</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct-access ramp</td>
<td>1,000 vph &lt; Demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>750 vph &lt; Demand &lt; 1,000 vph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>500 vph &lt; Demand &lt; 750 vph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>250 vph &lt; Demand &lt; 500 vph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>Demand &lt; 250 vph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Service</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Space</td>
<td>ROW acquisition has already cleared the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient ROW generally available without substantial impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROW proposed to be acquired for other freeway improvements (not including HOV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient ROW generally not available without substantial impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity/Continuity</td>
<td>Qualitative assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4-6: Evaluation of Direct-Access Ramps – Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Direct-Access Ramp Location</th>
<th>Bottlenecks</th>
<th>Direct-Access Ramp Demand</th>
<th>Transit Service</th>
<th>Available Space</th>
<th>Connectivity / Continuity</th>
<th>Corridor Summary (Average)</th>
<th>Corridor Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>St. Rose Parkway</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Blue Diamond Road</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Warm Springs Road</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Sunset Road and Hacienda Avenue</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Hacienda Avenue and Harmon Avenue</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Meade Avenue</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-515</td>
<td>Maryland Parkway</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>Smoke Ranch Road</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4-6: Evaluation of Direct-Access Ramps – Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>Direct-Access Ramp Location</th>
<th>Bottlenecks</th>
<th>Direct-Access Ramp Demand</th>
<th>Transit Service</th>
<th>Available Space</th>
<th>Connectivity / Continuity</th>
<th>Corridor Summary (Average)</th>
<th>Corridor Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>Peak Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>Airport Connector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-215</td>
<td>Sunset Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td>Rampart Boulevard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15/I-215</td>
<td>Direct-access flyover ramps to the west</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15/I-215</td>
<td>Direct-access flyover ramps to the east</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15/CC-215 (Northern Beltway)</td>
<td>Direct-access flyover ramps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Corridor Summary (Average) and Corridor Rank are indicated by icons.*
Comments, inputs and recommendations offered by the stakeholder agencies, including the resort community, local government agencies and boards and councils were incorporated in the evaluation process. Comments related to the proposed locations of the HOV direct-access ramps, their configurations and potential new locations prompted further review and reevaluation. The findings from the evaluation of direct-access ramp locations are summarized in the following sections.

4.5.1. Direct-Access Ramps along I-15

The HOV demand is strong along I-15, particularly in the Resort Corridor. Direct-access ramps along I-15 would benefit the Valley’s residents by offering travel time savings in their daily commutes to the major employment centers along the Resort Corridor. These direct-access ramps would also benefit the visitors by offering easy and convenient access to the major tourist destinations along the Resort Corridor including the Global Business District. The following is a discussion on the evaluation of direct-access ramps considered along I-15.

Near St. Rose Parkway (to/from the north):

Direct-access local drop ramps were evaluated to provide direct-access from the proposed Bruner park-and-ride lot (planned to be located at the north-east quadrant of the I-15/St. Rose Parkway interchange). Located near the southern end of the I-15 HOV system, ramps were considered only to/from the north. HOV demand on the ramps are comparatively low and minimal congestion and bottlenecks are expected on I-15 in the vicinity. In the absence of these direct-access local drop ramps, access from the park-and-ride lot to the HOV system is available for the vehicles through the I-15/St. Rose Parkway interchange.

Blue Diamond Road (to/from the north - from/to the west):

At Blue Diamond Road, the direct-access local drop ramps were evaluated between Blue Diamond Road to/from the west and I-15 to/from the north. These ramps would serve the anticipated future residential developments in the south-west portion of the Valley. HOV demand is moderate on the ramps, but bottlenecks are expected on I-15 between Blue Diamond Road and I-215. The I-15 South Design-Build Project was designed to be forward compatible to allow for this proposed direct-access. Beginning/ending the HOV lane west of the Valley View Boulevard intersection along Blue Diamond Road is recommended, to allow the HOVs to bypass this congested intersection.

Warm Springs Road (to/from the north):

At Warm Springs Road, the direct-access local drop ramps were evaluated to/from the north. This location could be an alternative to the direct-access local drop ramps at Blue Diamond Road. HOV demand on these ramps is comparatively low if HOV direct-access is also available.
at Blue Diamond Road. The vicinity to the I-15/I-215 interchange could pose some geometric challenges for HOV direct-access at this location.

**Sunset Road (to/from the north) and Hacienda Avenue (to/from the south):**

Sunset Road with ramps to/from the north and Hacienda Avenue with ramps to/from the south form a couplet and was evaluated as an alternative to the Hacienda Avenue/Harmon Avenue couplet. HOV demand is high but lower than the Hacienda Avenue/Harmon Avenue alternative. The vicinity to the I-15/I-215 interchange could pose some geometric challenges for HOV direct-access at Sunset Road.

**Hacienda Avenue (to/from the south) and Harmon Avenue (to/from the north):**

The HOV demand along I-15 is particularly strong in the vicinity of Tropicana Avenue where significant resort corridor activity occurs. Consequently, these direct-access local drop ramps have the highest demand of all the locations evaluated. In this area, providing two different locations for HOV direct-access to I-15, one for traffic using I-15 to/from the south and one for traffic using I-15 to/from the north, would better serve the traffic by dispersing demand more evenly over the arterial system. Furthermore, the I-15 right-of-way at Tropicana Avenue is restricted such that median direct-access local drop ramps towards the Tropicana Avenue bridge might not be feasible. Due to these reasons, separate direct-access local drop ramps were evaluated at Harmon and Hacienda Avenue; at Harmon to/from the north and at Hacienda to/from the south. High HOV demand, presence of congestion/bottlenecks and transit service potential, make this a highly desirable location for direct-access local drop ramps along I-15.

**Meade Avenue (both directions):**

The Meade Avenue direct-access local drop ramps were first developed and recommended as part of NDOT’s I-15 Resort Corridor Study. This location provides enhanced access to the north end of the resort corridor and there is moderately high demand for ramps in both directions of I-15. Severe congestion and bottlenecks are expected on I-15 near these Meade Avenue ramps. Further, this location provides the potential to connect to the Global Business District – a future high HOV trip attractor/generator. Overall, this location ranks moderate to high for HOV direct-access desirability.

**North 5th Street (to/from the south):**

The North 5th Street direct-access local drop ramps to/from the south were evaluated to be the northern terminus of the HOV lanes along I-15. Located at the northern end of the I-15 HOV system, ramps were considered only to/from the south. The close proximity of the Carey Avenue bridge over I-15 to the North 5th Street bridge over I-15 makes the implementation of the direct-access local drop ramps at North 5th Street geometrically challenging. Direct-access local
drop ramps at Carey Avenue and I-15 is a potential alternative to the direct-access local drop ramps at North 5th Street. But, this introduces a new intersection (intersection of the drop ramps and Carey Avenue) very close to the North 5th Street and Carey Avenue intersection. On the other hand, the I-15/Lake Mead interchange offers easy access between I-15 and North 5th Street. In light of these, the evaluation of this location was not taken further, but this location is recommended to be considered again in future updates of the HOV Plan.

I-15/I-215 Interchange Direct-Access Flyover Ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west):

Between Sahara Avenue and St. Rose Parkway, there is one freeway-to-freeway system interchange which is at I-215. This system interchange was evaluated for direct-access flyover ramps. The original Southern Nevada HOV Plan proposed direct-access flyover ramps for movements between I-15 to/from the north and I-215 to/from the east. Together with the direct-access local drop ramps at I-215 and the Airport Connector, these flyover ramps form a critical link for facilitating travel between the airport and the tourist destinations along the Las Vegas Strip. In addition to the ramps to the east, this study evaluated flyover ramps to the west. Sufficient HOV demand is expected for the ramps to the east as well as the west and high levels of congestion and bottlenecks on both I-15 and I-215/CC-215 near the I-15/I-215 interchange make these ramps highly desirable.

I-15/CC-215 (Northern Beltway) Interchange Direct-Access Flyover Ramps (to/from the south - from/to the west):

Direct-access flyover ramps were evaluated at this other freeway-to-freeway system interchange along I-15. HOV demand is expected to be low and for the year 2035 planning horizon year, these direct-access flyover ramps do not meet the vehicle volume operating thresholds shown in Table 2-2 for Freeway-to-Freeway direct-access flyover ramps. Minimal congestion and bottlenecks are expected in the vicinity by the year 2035 timeframe. Overall, these direct-access flyover ramps rank low for implementation desirability. However, future (beyond year 2035) compatibility with direct-access flyover ramps should be maintained at this interchange.

Based on the findings from the HOV system evaluation, the following direct-access ramps along I-15 are recommended for the year 2035 Long-Term System:

- Blue Diamond Road (to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Hacienda Avenue (to/from the south)
- Harmon Avenue (to/from the north)
- Meade Avenue (both directions)
- I-15/I-215 interchange direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west)

Figure 4-1 illustrates the proposed direct-access ramp locations along I-15. Preliminary level design plans showing the footprint for each proposed location are provided in Appendix E. The preliminary geometry evaluation indicates that each location will require design exceptions for implementation.

**Figure 4-1: Proposed Direct-Access Ramps along I-15**
4.5.2. **Direct-Access Ramps along other Freeways**

The following is a discussion on the evaluation of direct-access ramps along the freeways outside of I-15.

**Maryland Parkway on I-515 (both directions):**

Maryland Parkway is an integral north-south corridor and connects the airport in the south to downtown Las Vegas in the north; it also connects the University of Nevada, Las Vegas and a number of commercial and retail areas. Maryland Parkway is also a designated BRT corridor due to its high potential for transit service. Congestion and bottlenecks are expected in this location’s vicinity and moderate HOV demand is expected on ramps in both directions. Additionally, the increased access to downtown offered by these ramps make this location desirable for HOV direct-access along I-515/US 95.

**Galleria Drive/Stephanie Street on I-515 (both directions):**

Another potential location for direct-access local drop ramps along I-515 is in the vicinity of Galleria Drive/Stephanie Street. Existing residential land uses, commercial establishments and proposed developments in this area are expected to result in low to moderate demand for the ramps in both directions. With the existing Galleria Drive interchange with I-515, it might be geometrically infeasible to implement the direct-access local drop ramps at Galleria Drive. As an alternative, Stephanie Street was examined for the direct-access local drop ramps. The Russell Road and Galleria Drive interchanges with I-515 are closely spaced, with less than 2,000 feet spacing available between the ramps of these interchanges; the Stephanie Street bridge over I-515 is located between the Russell Road and Galleria Drive interchanges. There are three interchanges along I-515 – at Russell Road, Galleria Drive and Sunset Road within a two-mile stretch of the freeway. Furthermore, the Stephanie Street bridge is located within the influence area of the Russell Road interchange and the introduction of the direct-access local drop ramps might result in operational issues. In light of these, the evaluation of this location was not taken further, but this location is recommended to be studied in detail in future updates of the HOV Plan.

**Peak Drive on US 95 (both directions):**

Peak drive is an east-west street in the north-west region of the Valley and currently does not cross over US 95. Direct-access local drop ramps were evaluated at this location because of the HOV demand potential. The presence of the Las Vegas Technology Center (a major traffic generator) and medical facilities in the vicinity are expected to generate significant number of HOV trips. Congestion expected at the adjacent interchanges also make this location desirable for the implementation of direct-access local drop ramps. Reflecting this, the travel demand models forecast moderately high HOV demand on these ramps. But the presence of Las Vegas
Valley Water District’s (LVVWD) appurtenances along Peak Drive, to the east of US 95 make the acquisition of right-of-way difficult and preclude the implementation of direct-access ramps at this location. The geometric constraints are further discussed in Section 5.2.

**Smoke Ranch Road on US 95 (both directions):**

Smoke Ranch Road is also an east-west street, located just south of Peak Drive and it currently crosses over US 95. Direct-access local drop ramps at this location were evaluated as an alternative to Peak Drive. Consequently, this location serves all the developments proposed to be served by the direct-access local drop ramps at Peak Drive. Moderately high HOV demand and congestion/bottlenecks at the adjacent interchanges make this location desirable for the implementation of direct-access local drop ramps.

**Airport Connector on I-215 (to/from the north - from/to the west):**

The direct-access local drop ramps at the Airport Connector onto I-215 (with ramps to/from the north - from/to the west) were evaluated in the Original Plan and their desirability is reinforced by this Plan Update. Together with the direct-access flyover ramps at the I-15/I-215 interchange, these ramps offer critical connectivity and facilitate travel between the airport and the tourist destinations along the Las Vegas Strip. High HOV demand is expected and the presence of congestion/bottlenecks in the vicinity and high transit service potential make this location the most desirable for direct-access on freeways outside of I-15.

**Sunset Road on CC-215 (both directions):**

Moderate HOV demand is expected on the direct-access local drop ramps at Sunset Road onto CC-215. Congestion and bottlenecks are also expected to be moderate in the vicinity and the potential for transit service using these ramps is moderate. Overall, these ramps achieve moderate desirability. HOV demand on the ramps at this location is lower than at other locations and the implementation of these ramps are comparatively a low priority.

**Rampart Boulevard on Summerlin Parkway (to/from the east):**

HOV demand along Summerlin Parkway reduces significantly west of Rampart Boulevard. Consequently, in the Long-Term System, HOV lanes along Summerlin Parkway are proposed to end immediately west of Rampart Boulevard. Direct-access local drop ramps at Rampart Boulevard were evaluated to match this terminus of the HOV system. Moderately high demand is expected on these ramps, with moderate congestion/bottlenecks expected in the vicinity. But, without completely reconfiguring the existing interchange, it is not geometrically feasible to implement the direct-access local drop ramps at Rampart Boulevard. Furthermore, the Summerlin Parkway/Rampart Boulevard interchange is heavily congested and potential...
operational issues could arise from adding local drop ramps in the median within this interchange.

I-515/I-215 Interchange Direct-Access Flyover Ramps (to/from the north - from/to the west):

Direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the west) at the I-515/I-215 interchange were evaluated and moderately low HOV demand is expected on the ramps. The existing Gibson Road interchange with I-215 and the Auto Show Drive interchange with I-515 are located in close proximity to the I-515/I-215 interchange and challenges exist in accommodating the direct-access flyover ramps within the interchange without any reconfiguration. Given this, the evaluation of these direct-access ramps was not taken further, but this location is recommended to be studied in detail in future updates of the HOV Plan.

Based on the findings from the HOV system evaluation, the following direct-access ramps along the freeways outside of I-15 are recommended for the year 2035 Long-Term System:

- Maryland Parkway on I-515 (both directions)
- Smoke Ranch Road on US 95 (both directions)
- Airport Connector on I-215 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Sunset Road on CC-215 (both directions)

Figure 4-2 illustrates the proposed direct-access ramp locations on other freeways. Conceptual plans for the direct-access local drop ramps at Maryland Parkway, Smoke Ranch Road and Sunset Road are included in Appendix E.
Figure 4-2: Proposed Direct-Access Ramps along Other Freeways
For the year 2035 Long-Term System, the direct-access ramps are recommended to be implemented per the phasing plan shown in Table 4-7.

Table 4-7: Phasing Plan of Direct-Access Ramp Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order of Implementation¹</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Along Freeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I-215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I-515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>US 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CC-215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Lower number to be implemented first.

Similar to the other long-term elements of the HOV Plan, these direct-access ramps may be designed and constructed opportunistically (need not necessarily follow the order of implementation shown in Table 4-7) when other projects at/near these locations are programmed and developed.
4.6. Proposed Near-Term HOV System

Based on the information provided in the previous sections, Figure 4-3 illustrates the proposed Near-Term System.

As shown in Figure 4-3, the Near-Term System consists of the following HOV lanes all of which are one lane in each direction:

- I-15 from Silverado Ranch Boulevard to US 95/I-515
- US 95 from I-15 to north of Elkhorn Road
- Summerlin Parkway from Buffalo Drive to US 95

It should be noted that the HOV lanes on Summerlin Parkway from US 95 to Buffalo Drive and on US 95 from Rancho Drive to Ann Road exist today. The extension of US 95 HOV lanes to north of Elkhorn Road is programmed as part of the US 95 Northwest Corridor Improvements Project.

The Near-Term System consists of the following direct-access ramps:

- Project Neon HOV Gateway
- Project Neon HOV Flyover
- US 95/Summerlin Parkway HOV Flyover
- Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps (to/from the south) on US 95

The US 95/Summerlin Parkway HOV Flyover exists today. Project Neon HOV Flyover and HOV Gateway are programmed as part of Project Neon's first phase. The city of Las Vegas intends to lead the effort to provide the Elkhorn Road direct-access local drop ramps on US 95.
Figure 4-3: Proposed Near-Term HOV System
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4.7. Proposed Long-Term HOV System

Based on the information provided in the previous sections, Figure 4-4 illustrates the proposed Long-Term System.

As shown in Figure 4-4, the Long-Term System consists of the following HOV lanes:

- I-15 from St. Rose Parkway to I-215 with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-15 from I-215 to US 95 with two HOV lanes in each direction
- I-15 from US 95 to Lake Mead Boulevard with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-515 from I-215 to I-15 with one HOV lane in each direction
- US 95 from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway with two HOV lanes in each direction
- US 95 from Summerlin Parkway to north of Elkhorn Road with one HOV lane in each direction
- I-215 from I-15 to I-515 with one HOV lane in each direction except for the segment between I-15 and the Airport Connector which has two HOV lanes in each direction
- CC-215 (Southern and Western Beltway) from I-15 to Summerlin Parkway with one HOV lane in each direction
- Summerlin Parkway from Rampart Boulevard to US 95 with one HOV lane in each direction

The actual terminus of the HOV lanes along each freeway is to be determined based on a weaving analysis using operational analysis tools and adhering to the guidance presented in the Design Manual of NDOT's Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual.

The Long-Term System consists of the following direct-access ramps in addition to the ones proposed for the Near-Term System:

**Direct-Access Local Drop Ramps:**

- Blue Diamond Road on I-15 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
- Hacienda Avenue on I-15 (to/from the south)
- Harmon Avenue on I-15 (to/from the north)
- Meade Avenue on I-15 (both directions)
- Maryland Parkway on I-515 (both directions)
- Smoke Ranch Road on US 95 (both directions)
- Airport Connector on I-215 (to/from the north - from/to the west)
• Sunset Road on CC-215 (both directions)

Direct-Access Flyover Ramps:

• I-15/I-215 interchange direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west)

Note that the year 2035 Long-Term HOV system is not the ultimate HOV system for the Las Vegas Valley; future studies and updates to the HOV plan focused on a planning horizon year beyond year 2035 would reevaluate the freeway corridors for additional/alternate HOV lane implementation and direct-access ramp locations. The direct-access ramp locations and corridors where HOV lanes are not proposed for the year 2035 system might warrant HOV treatments by this longer-term horizon year (beyond year 2035). All future freeway improvements projects in the Valley (even along corridors where HOV facilities are not proposed for the year 2035 Long-Term HOV system) must provide forward compatibility such that HOV lanes are not precluded beyond year 2035.
Figure 4-4: Proposed Long-Term HOV System
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5. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF DIRECT-ACCESS RAMPS

The physical geometric feasibility of the direct-access ramps was evaluated through conceptual 15% to 30% level design evaluation. The conceptual design/feasibility checks were carried out in coordination with the HOV System Evaluation (specifically, the evaluation of direct-access ramps) explained in Section 4.5. The potential direct-access ramp locations identified in the system evaluation were analyzed for geometric feasibility and the findings from this feasibility check were in-turn used in the evaluation process to develop the recommended list of direct-access ramps.

The existing topography and aerial photography, as well as the as-built design files from the I-15 South Design-Build project were used to determine the existing roadway and other physical configurations in that area. Along US 95, Summerlin Parkway and CC-215, existing topography was approximated using aerial photography. Existing I-15 and US 95 Rights-of-Way were established using files provided by NDOT, and were verified against information provided by NDOT Location Control, previous project files, and the aerial topography.

5.1. Geometric Design Criteria

The preliminary horizontal and vertical geometric design was performed to be in conformance with the 2011 American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials’ (AASHTO) Green Book and the 2010 NDOT Roadway Design Guide. The 2011 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide was used to determine clear zone widths and barrier needs. Exceptions to these criteria that were necessary to accommodate the proposed improvements are listed in Section 5.3.

The geometric design was approached with the assumption that the improvements required for the HOV direct-access ramps would not attempt to reconstruct areas of I-15 or US 95 that were identified as sub-standard or over capacity. Modifications to I-15, US 95 and other adjacent or connecting roadways were limited to those improvements necessary to enable the placement of the direct-access ramps only, assuming other projects would be required to improve surrounding sub-standard conditions. The preliminary geometry was designed with the intent that the proposed improvements would not cause I-15, US 95 and adjacent or connecting roadways to become sub-standard due to their implementation.

Generally, it was assumed that ramp design speeds could be reduced from freeway speeds to a minimum of 35 mph for the direct-access ramps (both the direct-access local drop ramps and the direct-access flyover ramps), similar to the speeds of interchange loop ramps. Horizontal geometry was established using the AASHTO and NDOT criteria for 35 mph. It was also assumed that vertical grades for the direct-access ramps could exceed the NDOT maximum of 6% for interchange ramps due to the absence of heavy trucks in the HOV lanes. A maximum vertical grade of 8% was set as the limiting criteria for these ramp grades.
5.2. Feasibility Checks

Initial geometrics were developed and iterated multiple times to ensure that the desired improvements could be implemented within existing right-of-way. Evaluation of the proposed geometrics considered the existing roadway and existing structures, including bridges, retaining walls, signs, lights, and drainage structures. Considerations were made for physical impacts of the direct-access ramps on adjacent property, proximity and functional operations of adjacent intersections and signals, logical termini of HOV system and future planning work, such as the replacement of the Tropicana Avenue, Harmon Avenue and Hacienda Avenue bridges. Future planning concepts were not assumed to be complete during the evaluation, and pinch points were noted as constraints. The resulting layouts of the evaluated locations are presented in Appendix E. The following is a discussion on the feasibility checks completed and the resulting findings for the direct-access ramp locations.

Blue Diamond Road and I-15:

The I-15 South Design-Build project was designed to be forward compatible with the HOV system, with room in the median of Blue Diamond Road to allow for direct-access local drop ramps. The most significant challenge in accommodating the direct-access local drop ramps from the I-15 HOV lanes onto Blue Diamond Road is the proximity of the Dean Martin Drive intersection to the location where the direct-access local drop ramps terminate east of the intersection and the potential traffic weaving issues within this section.

To eliminate the weave between the terminus of the direct-access local drop ramps on Blue Diamond Road and Dean Martin Drive, the direct-access local drop ramps should extend west beyond Dean Martin Drive. If the HOV ramps are extended west of the intersection, they would also need to clear Valley View Boulevard 700 feet west of Dean Martin Drive, and clearances to the existing signals at Dean Martin Drive and Valley View Boulevard and other overhead utilities need to be evaluated. NV Energy has a large overhead distribution line crossing Blue Diamond Road, west of Valley View Boulevard that may require relocation if the ramps extend west. This extension to the west will also allow HOVs to bypass the congested bottleneck intersections of Blue Diamond Road at both Dean Martin Drive and Valley View Boulevard, significantly improving travel time and travel time reliability.

The preliminary layout shown in Appendix E shows the direct-access local drop ramps and their landing point east of the Blue Diamond Road/Dean Martin Drive intersection, and also shows the space available for weaving. However, when these direct-access local drop ramps are designed, it is recommended that the direct-access local drop ramps’ terminus be west of Valley View Boulevard.
I-15/I-215 Interchange Direct-Access Flyover Ramps:

Direct-access flyover ramps (to/from the north - from/to the east and to/from the north - from/to the west) at the I-15/I-215 interchange were evaluated. The connection to the east was supported in the Original Plan, and was not precluded by the I-15 South Design-Build project, and these were confirmed to be geometrically feasible. The connection to the west is challenging geometrically, and the preliminary configuration proposed (Appendix E), while geometrically feasible, may not be the best option. A more desirable connection will likely require the reconfiguration of the interchange. Reconfiguration of the interchange to better accommodate this connection was not evaluated. It is also noted that the wall constructed to accommodate lowering of the I-15 Collector-Distributor (C-D) ramp profile adjacent to the Town Square development causes a constraint. This location (where the wall exists) is the logical terminus of the HOV direct-access flyover ramps. As it exists today, this wall creates a horizontal “pinch point” that may require the shifting of I-15 to the west during final design to accommodate minimum shoulder widths.

A review of FAA regulations was performed using the preliminary vertical geometry to determine whether the profiles constituted an obstruction to McCarran Airport’s flight path envelopes. The direct-access flyover ramps, both in the east and west leg directions, will require permit from FAA and should be evaluated further during final design of the ramps and/or reconfiguration of the I-15/I-215 interchange. The preliminary vertical geometry review indicated that the proposed direct-access flyover ramps can be accommodated with the required FAA permit.

Hacienda Avenue/Harmon Avenue and I-15:

Geometrically, the addition of direct-access local drop ramps in the center of the existing I-15 alignment causes the entirety of the northbound and southbound lanes to shift at both proposed direct-access local drop ramp locations. Several layouts were prepared to determine whether the ramps could feasibly fit within the existing right-of-way along with the rest of I-15’s lanes and collector-distributor roads. The first checks were performed assuming no shift of the I-15 centerline alignment. In this configuration, one HOV lane (in each direction) between Hacienda Avenue and Harmon Avenue can be constructed without impacting the right-of-way. If the centerline of I-15 is shifted to equally space the proposed direct-access local drop ramps and mainline lanes, two HOV lanes (in each direction) fit at Harmon Avenue, however, at Hacienda Avenue the proximity of Frank Sinatra Drive to NDOT’s right-of-way does not allow two HOV lanes around the drop ramp structure. Modifications to Frank Sinatra Drive and the Hacienda Avenue bridge structure were not evaluated. The preliminary design should be further developed to determine whether Frank Sinatra Drive can be modified and continue to operate acceptably in the future. A reconstruction of the Hacienda Avenue bridge structure may also be required to allow two HOV lanes around the drop ramp structure and is to be considered as part of the design development to accommodate two HOV lanes.
Meade Avenue and I-15:

It is anticipated that a future I-15 widening will add lanes to I-15, which coupled with the width of the direct-access local drop ramps at Meade Avenue will require additional right-of-way through the lane tapers to accommodate a median access location. If constructed with the existing number of lanes on I-15, the drop ramp structure and all I-15 lanes fit within the existing right-of-way. Connecting the direct-access local drop ramps to Meade Avenue on the west was performed as part of the geometric design, however the east side connection was not laid out. The connections to the east, including connections to Industrial Road, Echelon Resort Drive and potentially to Desert Inn Road (alternatives developed in the Department’s Resort Corridor Study) are to be considered by the City, County and partners. The connections are desirable and are of value, but the actual alignment has not be studied as yet. Therefore, it was determined to show the connection to the east as “future by others” for purposes of this study.

Maryland Parkway and US 95/I-515:

The direct-access local drop ramps require the widening of US 95/I-515. The widening of this stretch of US 95 to accommodate HOV lanes will also require replacing the US 95 viaduct. This replacement is currently programmed in the RTP. Geometric design was performed assuming that a future project would widen US 95.

Peak Drive and US 95:

Geometrically, HOV direct-access connection at the Peak Drive location is possible. During coordination with the City of Las Vegas and utility companies, it was determined that neither property to the east and the west of the proposed location is public right-of-way. The parcels are currently owned by the LVVWD and a private owner respectively. The LVVWD property is a well site that is LVVWD’s most productive in the Valley, and relinquishing this location may not be possible and be contingent on the provision of an alternate well site with the same or greater productivity as this existing one. With the proximity of Smoke Ranch Road as another potential location, the Peak Drive connection was determined to be infeasible.

Smoke Ranch Road and US 95:

Horizontal and vertical geometries for Smoke Ranch lend well to it being a HOV direct-access local drop ramp location. Shifting of the US 95 lanes could be accommodated within the existing US 95 right-of-way.

Sunset Road and CC-215:

Sunset Road has existing intersections with the CC-215 Western Beltway frontage roads at the location of Sunset Road itself, precluding a median direct-access local drop ramp configuration. A new bridge between the CC-215/Durango Interchange and the CC-215/Sunset Interchange
was considered, connecting the direct-access local drop ramps to the CC-215 frontage roads. This layout was evaluated for spacing and geometrics and is geometrically possible as shown in Appendix E.

**Rampart Boulevard and Summerlin Parkway:**
Directly connecting the HOV lanes on Summerlin Parkway to the Rampart Boulevard interchange poses challenging spacing issues when considering the existing service interchange intersections and the proposed HOV direct-access local drop ramp intersections. Without completely reconfiguring the existing interchange to separate the HOV traffic from the non-HOV traffic or braiding those types at the ramps, it is not geometrically feasible to implement direct-access local drop ramps at Rampart Boulevard. The preliminary layout shown in Appendix E depicts a median direct-access local drop ramp configuration and the challenges associated with it.

5.3. Design Exceptions
Generally, existing design exceptions have been perpetuated during the conceptual design of the direct-access ramps. The I-15 corridor has inadequate width, and contains physical pinch points at many locations, which prevent full compliance to freeway standards. Each direct-access ramp location along I-15 was reviewed to provide a preliminary determination of the expected design exceptions. Design alternatives on I-15 were developed far enough to better determine possible design exceptions. A full list of design exceptions should be prepared during final design to ensure that all design exceptions are identified, mitigated, accepted and approved. The following is the list of identified design exceptions for the direct-access ramp locations. In general, similar design exceptions currently exist as part of the freeway system and these would not be a unique feature of the HOV system.

**Blue Diamond Road and I-15:**

- It is assumed that the curve speeds are 35 mph. Four and eight feet inside and outside shoulders respectively have been used. The sight distance around the curve, given the vertical profile and 3'-6" Type FA median Barrier, is not adequate for the northbound direct-access local drop ramp onto I-15. An exception for sight distance will be required.
- The sag curves at both ends of the ramps do not provide adequate headlight sight distance. It is assumed that high mast lighting will be provided. If lighting is not adequate, an exception for the headlight sight distance will be required.
- At the Northbound I-15 outside shoulder along the drop ramp structure, at Blue Diamond Road northbound entrance ramp, the existing barrier rail will cause the proposed shoulder before the ramp gore to be a minimum of five feet at the north end of the existing rail. It widens to the south to over eight feet, but only reaches the standard 10
feet at the south end of the proximity of the barrier. Exception limits: “Le” STA 371+92 to 376+06.

- The I-15 southbound lanes shift to the west requiring reconfiguration of the ramp gores at the I-15 Blue Diamond Road exit and entrance ramps. Shoulder exceptions may be required to get the earthwork to fit without retaining walls, or other more substantial modifications to the ramps.
- The direct-access local drop ramp shoulders do not provide adequate sight distance around the curve due to the crest curve and barrier obstruction.
- The inside shoulders on I-15 Mainline in the vicinity of the HOV drop ramp structure have been assumed to be four feet.

I-15/I-215 Interchange:

- It is assumed that the curve speeds are 35 mph. Four and eight feet inside and outside shoulders respectively have been used. The sight distance around the curve, given the vertical profile and 3'-6” Type A Barrier is not adequate for the ramps. An exception for sight distance will be required on the curved flyover ramps.
- The sag curves at the ends of the ramps do not provide adequate headlight sight distance. It is assumed that high mast lighting will be provided. If lighting is not adequate, an exception for the headlight sight distance will be required.
- Shoulder exceptions will be required at the following locations:
  - I-15 northbound from “Le” 445+00 to 455+00: The I-215 westbound to I-15 northbound ramp retaining wall constrains the space available between it and the HOV flyover ramp structure. Final design may shift the flyover ramp structure to the west, but there is not adequate space to the west to allow full standard shoulders. Both inside and outside shoulders in this section are two feet in the proposed layout.
  - I-15 southbound in the same area will require shifting the existing barrier rail between the I-215 off-ramp and the southbound C-D road on-ramp. Future placement of this barrier may result in a shoulder exception in order to not impact the profiles of each ramp.
  - On CC-215, widening will be required to support the HOV lane, and the flyover ramps. Shoulder exceptions adjacent to the ramp structures will help limit impacts to abutments and piers of structures carrying I-15, system connector ramps, the C-D Ramps, Dean Martin Drive, Valley View Boulevard and Las Vegas Boulevard.
  - The HOV flyover ramp shoulders do not provide adequate sight distance around the curves due to the barrier obstruction. Consideration of providing extra width should be made during final design.
Inside shoulders on I-15 Mainline in the vicinity of the HOV flyover ramp structures have been assumed to be four feet.

- Maximum grades should be reevaluated for 8% to allow some flexibility in the horizontal geometry and support clearances.

**Hacienda Avenue/Harmon Avenue and I-15:**

- Shoulder exceptions will be required at the following locations:
  - I-15 northbound from “Le” 527+40 to “Le” 615+50: Adding the direct-access local drop ramps will result in the I-15 northbound and I-15 southbound inside shoulders to be five feet wide. Outside shoulders vary from 12 feet to as narrow as two feet at some locations.
  - I-15 northbound from “Le” 529+50 to 538+50: In order to stay within the existing right-of-way and to keep the configuration as close to the existing geometry as possible in the vicinity of Frank Sinatra Drive, adding the direct-access local drop ramp will result in the I-15 northbound outside shoulder to vary from 12 feet at “Le” 529+50 to two feet at “Le” 538+50 and to remain at two feet until “Le” 545+38.
  - There isn’t adequate space to allow full standard shoulders and avoid impacting the easterly pier at Hacienda Avenue. Therefore, in order to avoid rebuilding the bridge at Hacienda Avenue, northbound C-D road inside and outside shoulders have been narrowed down to two feet wide. Also, the northbound C-D road lanes from “Le” 530+62 to 545+38 adjacent to Frank Sinatra Drive have been narrowed down to 11 feet instead of 12 feet.
  - The I-15 southbound C-D road outside shoulder from “Le” 543+85 to 545+95 has been reduced to five feet at the Hacienda Avenue westerly bridge pier.
  - In order to match the reinforced concrete box pavement spanning from “Le” 546+80 to “Le” 553+50, southbound I-15 outside shoulder and southbound C-D road inside shoulder from “Le” 531+65 to 545+38 need to be reduced to four feet and two feet respectively, keeping the geometry of the road as close to existing as possible.
  - Direct-access local drop ramps have four feet inside shoulders and eight feet outside shoulders at Harmon Avenue and Hacienda Avenue.

- Sign foundation at “Le” 540+57 encroaches between the southbound C-D road and I-15 southbound general-purpose lanes resulting in a zero shoulder at that location. Therefore, the existing sign needs to be rebuilt as a cantilever sign.

- Sign foundation at “Le” 535+89 northbound falls inside the northbound I-15 general-purpose lanes and therefore needs to be relocated.
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Meade Avenue and I-15:

- Inside shoulder exceptions will be necessary on I-15 along the drop ramp walls.
- Depending upon final drainage improvements, outside shoulder exceptions may be desired to limit project impacts on right-of-way.
- The roadway cross section on Meade Avenue will not meet Clark County Standards, and will require coordination and approval from the City of Las Vegas.

Maryland Parkway and US 95/I-515:

- No design exceptions were noted as US 95/I-515 reconstruction improvements are not known. It is expected that a reconstruction of US 95 would allow all improvements to be constructed to current standards.

Smoke Ranch Road and US 95:

- Inside shoulder width exceptions will be necessary on US 95 along the drop ramp walls, as the proposed layout assumed four feet inside shoulders.
- Outside shoulder width exceptions will be necessary along US 95 through the direct-access local drop ramp locations and lane tapers, especially under the existing Smoke Ranch Road bridge where the existing abutments have been previously underpinned.

5.4. Cost Estimates

Planning level cost estimates were prepared for the direct-access ramp locations on I-15, and are summarized in Table 5-1. These were calculated based on take-offs from major construction components for the proposed locations. Current costs of these items quantified were used as a reference. Line by line quantities and their associated unit costs are included in Appendix F. Estimated costs are in year 2014 dollars, and include contingencies for items that were not designed or determined at the time of the preliminary layout.
Table 5-1: Planning Level Cost Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOV Direct-Access Ramp Location</th>
<th>Estimated Improvement Cost (Year 2014 Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Diamond Road and I-15 (ramps to/from the north - from/to the west)</td>
<td>$24,481,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15 and I-215 Interchange (ramps to/from the north - from/to the east and ramps to/from the north - from/to the west)</td>
<td>$100,112,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hacienda Avenue and I-15 (ramps to/from the south)</td>
<td>$11,568,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon Avenue and I-15 (ramps to/from the north)</td>
<td>$9,175,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meade Avenue (ramps to/from both directions)</td>
<td>$25,507,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. OPERATIONAL PLAN

Operational recommendations are made for the Near-Term System. No specific recommendations are made for the Long-Term System; NDOT has the flexibility to, and should implement the best operational policy in response to future conditions.

6.1. Access Type

Access along an HOV lane could be allowed at any point (i.e., continuous access) or be restricted to discrete locations (i.e., limited access). Generally, both scenarios are viable options when planning HOV lanes. Limited access is recommended for the Near-Term System because of following reasons.

- With continuous access, two HOV lanes in each direction would be required in year 2018 for the “Gap” (i.e., the stretch of I-15 between Project Neon and I-215). I-15 currently has three general-purpose lanes and two express lanes in each direction within the “Gap.” The requirement for two HOV lanes indicates conversion of both express lanes to HOV lanes in year 2018; and this is not recommended because: 1) Operations of the general-purpose lanes would be impacted by vehicles displaced by the conversion to HOV lanes, resulting in LOS F conditions, and 2) One of the express lanes (in each direction) was originally established by converting a general-purpose lane. Converting that express lane (which was originally a general-purpose lane) to an HOV lane would likely not be acceptable to the public; primarily because the remaining three general-purpose lanes are anticipated to operate over capacity. Therefore, with the two-HOV-lane scenario, one of the lanes (to have four general-purpose lanes) must be a new add lane; and this is not a practical possibility within the year 2018 – year 2025 timeline.

- Limited access discourages short distance/term use of the HOV lanes, hence reducing weaving. The scenario that results in less weaving is especially critical within the “Gap” where weaving issues already exist due to the comparatively high frequency of ramps. The existing express lanes have been successful partly because of the limited access and associated reduction of weaving activity between the express lanes and the general-purpose lanes. With continuous access, short distance trips opportunistically get-in or get-out of the HOV lanes causing turbulence in the traffic stream. This would be avoided by limited access.

- Limited access offers the opportunity to ensure that the lanes do not become overloaded regardless of the level of demand they generate, because the limited entry/exit points causes some of the HOV eligible vehicles to stay in the general-purpose lanes. With limited access it is easier to ensure higher travel speeds (time saving) and reliability for the HOV vehicles that travel greater distances.
Occupancy violation rates are generally lower with limited-access facilities, and enforcement is easier. In early years of HOV operations, it is important to build a culture of compliance to the operational (and occupancy) restrictions of the HOV lane through increased enforcement activity and education. This is easier with limited-access facilities since they are easier to enforce, and educational messages are clearer and more easily understood (e.g., enter/exit only at broken white line marking locations, and do not cross double solid white line markings).

Proposed ingress/egress locations for the Near-Term System are shown in Figure 6-1. The proposed locations allow the required weaving distance to/from the ramps per NDOT Managed Lanes and Ramp Metering Manual (minimum of 800 feet per lane change). However, during the design stage, weaving analysis using operational analysis tools is required to confirm and more clearly define the ingress/egress locations.

6.2. Minimum Occupancy

It is recommended that the minimum occupancy requirement on the proposed HOV facilities be HOV 2+. The HOV 2+ requirement allows the widest rideshare market to benefit from the HOV lanes. The demand forecasts, analysis results, and number of lane recommendations in this memorandum are based on the HOV 2+ eligibility requirement. Nevertheless, in the event that HOV 2+ demand grows beyond the facility's maximum operational threshold after the HOV lanes are implemented, a more restrictive access (HOV 3+) could be considered. The travel demand model does not indicate sufficient HOV 3+ demand; therefore, HOV 3+ is not recommended for the near-term.

6.3. Hours of Operation

HOV lanes can operate full time (24-hour) or part time (peak period or extended peak period). Full-time operation provides travel time and reliability benefits for users at all times during recurring and non-recurring congestion. It is easier to sign, mark, and enforce since there are no changes by time of day. Additionally, full-time operation may promote wider acceptance of the facility. The down side is that the HOV lanes may appear empty during off-peak periods when traffic in the general-purpose lanes also flows freely, and there is no apparent advantage for any traffic to use the HOV lanes. This may create a negative public perception of the HOV lanes.

Using the travel demand model data, two representative locations on I-15 were investigated for shared ride potential beyond the peak periods. Shared ride potential is the total of shared ride demand across all lanes. The two locations were 1) between Flamingo Road and Tropicana Avenue, and 2) between Sahara Avenue and Charleston Boulevard. The results indicate that at both locations, shared ride demand for each hour between 7 AM and 8 PM is similar (Table 6-1).
Figure 6-1: Proposed Ingress/Egress Locations
Table 6-1: Shared Ride Demand from the Travel Demand Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Time Period</th>
<th>Between Flamingo Road and Tropicana Avenue</th>
<th>Between Sahara Avenue and Charleston Boulevard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Shared Ride Demand</td>
<td>Total Shared Ride Demand per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 AM to 7 AM</td>
<td>8,780</td>
<td>1,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 AM to 9 AM(^1)</td>
<td>11,115</td>
<td>5,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 AM to 2 PM(^2)</td>
<td>36,451</td>
<td>7,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 PM to 4 PM(^2)</td>
<td>14,781</td>
<td>7,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PM to 6 PM(^1)</td>
<td>14,462</td>
<td>7,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PM to 8 PM(^2)</td>
<td>15,042</td>
<td>7,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PM to 12 AM</td>
<td>16,980</td>
<td>4,245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. Peak commute periods
2. Outside of peak commute periods excluding night hours
3. The shared ride demand volumes are year 2025 raw model volumes for combined northbound and southbound directions. They are used for comparison purposes only.
4. The shared ride demand volumes are not the HOV lane volumes. They are the shared ride model volumes across all lanes.

Total demand (i.e., shared ride plus single occupant) for all time periods were also reviewed for the same two locations on I-15. The goal was to find out if there would be adequate demand on the general-purpose lanes to justify operating the HOV lanes outside of the peak periods (i.e., if the HOV lanes would be beneficial outside of the peak periods). The year 2025 model indicates that the hourly demand is similar throughout the day from 7 AM to 8 PM, meaning there would be some congestion on general-purpose lanes, thereby justifying the use of HOV lanes. Existing traffic volumes on I-15 also were investigated for the same objective using data from NDOT’s permanent count station on I-15 between Sahara Avenue and Charleston Boulevard. The data (Table 6-2) shows the volumes are fairly flat from 6 AM to 7 PM.
### Table 6-2: 24-Hour Volume Data on I-15 between Sahara Ave and Charleston Boulevard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start Time</th>
<th>南行</th>
<th>北行</th>
<th>南行小时比例</th>
<th>北行小时比例</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>2,378</td>
<td>4,172</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>1,712</td>
<td>2,847</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>1,519</td>
<td>2,325</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>2,081</td>
<td>1,988</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>2,828</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00</td>
<td>5,110</td>
<td>2,868</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00</td>
<td>6,743</td>
<td>4,425</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>8,012</td>
<td>5,940</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>7,799</td>
<td>5,687</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>6,892</td>
<td>5,547</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>6,942</td>
<td>5,885</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>7,368</td>
<td>6,144</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>7,001</td>
<td>6,568</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>7,523</td>
<td>6,922</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>8,037</td>
<td>7,054</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>8,030</td>
<td>7,635</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>7,847</td>
<td>7,758</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>7,216</td>
<td>7,585</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:00</td>
<td>6,312</td>
<td>6,650</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>5,726</td>
<td>5,624</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:00</td>
<td>4,915</td>
<td>5,188</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:00</td>
<td>4,718</td>
<td>4,955</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:00</td>
<td>4,137</td>
<td>4,863</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23:00</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>4,860</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daily Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>134,206</strong></td>
<td><strong>125,663</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

注：高峰小时以粗体显示。

来源：NDOT计数站#0031210在I-15 0.2英里北侧Sahara Avenue Interchange。
The shared ride and total demand analyses indicate that demand supports an HOV lane operation period that extends well beyond the peak periods. Today, US 95 HOV lanes operate two extended peak periods (6 to 10 AM and 2 to 7 PM). Based on the analyses, demand supports continuous operation from 6 AM to 7 PM. A 24-hour operation, however, has many advantages as discussed earlier. Since the total traffic is minimal during the night, empty HOV lanes would not create the negative public perception they would during the day. The HOV systems in Southern California, Phoenix, and Salt Lake City have a 24-hour operation; 24-hour operation in the Valley would be consistent with these HOV systems in the neighboring states. Events that occur in the Resort Corridor and Downtown Las Vegas attract/generate trips that are usually made in high-occupant vehicles. Many of these events occur outside the peak periods; allowing HOVs to access and egress the Strip using HOV facilities, will enhance public support for such facilities and support the cultural change required to increase ridesharing and HOV use. Additionally, because there are many HOV direct-access ramps planned, a 24-hour operation would be the better option and, therefore recommended.

6.4. Vehicle Type Eligibility

6.4.1. Trucks

NDOT policy states that trucks with more than two axles (or vehicle-trailer combinations) are not allowed on HOV lanes\(^\text{10}\). Allowing trucks on the HOV lanes would have adverse impacts on speeds, safety, and reliability; and is not consistent with the HOV goal of moving people. Furthermore, allowing trucks on one-lane facilities (such as the HOV flyover) would have significant adverse impacts on speeds due to their slower acceleration during climbing. Additionally, Project Neon and the I-15 South Project FEIS documents do not have an objective related to freight vehicles. Therefore, trucks with more than two axles are not recommended on the proposed HOV facilities.

6.4.2. Occupancy-Exempt Vehicles

According to NDOT policy, emergency vehicles responding to an incident and dead-heading\(^\text{11}\) public transit buses are allowed on HOV lanes regardless of their occupancy level. Motorcycles are also allowed unless a safety study determines otherwise.


\(^{11}\) A dead-heading public transit vehicle is a transit vehicle that operates without carrying or accepting passengers. This includes a vehicle’s travel to/from the garage and a terminus point where revenue
NDOT does not have a policy for low-emission and energy-efficient vehicles on HOV lanes. State law (NRS 484A) and federal law (23 U.S.C. 166) give NDOT the authority to allow low-emission and energy-efficient vehicles that meet specific performance requirements on HOV lanes (defined in U.S.C.166 (f) (3)). The HOV demand forecasts used in this memorandum did not include these types of vehicles. It is recommended that NDOT study the possibility of allowing low-emission and energy-efficient vehicles on the HOV system if the federal law is extended beyond its current sunset date of September 30, 2017. It should also be noted that all the conditions required of the enabling federal legislation (if extended beyond September 30, 2017) would have to be met.

6.5. Summary of Near-Term Operational Recommendations

Table 6-3 is a summary of the operational recommendations for the near-term. These recommendations should be revisited as part of the operational plan and revised when appropriate.

Table 6-3: Near-Term Operational Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Operational Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum occupancy</td>
<td>2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours of operation</td>
<td>24-hours, 7 days of the week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trucks</td>
<td>Vehicles with more than two axles (or vehicle-trailer combinations) are not eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycles</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency vehicles</td>
<td>Those responding to an emergency are eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transit buses</td>
<td>Eligible (including dead-heading buses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-occupant low-emission and energy-efficient vehicles</td>
<td>To be studied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Type</td>
<td>Limited Access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

service begins or ends; or a vehicle’s travel between the ends of service on one route to the beginning of another.
7. NEXT STEPS

This section presents recommendations for steps needed to advance the HOV Plan. These steps include activities that would enhance the status of the Plan itself as well as provide additional infrastructure that would support and enhance the implementation of a successful HOV program.

Several of the “next steps” from the Original Plan have already been implemented. For example, the recommended near-term HOV improvements are now programmed in the RTP; the mode-choice model has been adopted; and the regional park-and-ride plan has been updated; all of these were recommended as next steps in the Original Plan. “Next steps” from the Original Plan that are still applicable for recommendation and several additional ones resulting from this Plan Update are discussed below.

7.1. Integration with Freeway Corridor Planning and Design Projects

There are various ongoing and planned projects encompassing major improvements along the freeways within the proposed HOV system. Each of these projects is at a different stage of design and at a different point in the environmental process. Each project has to be reviewed to determine if any changes based on the HOV plan are required. Table 7-1 presents these projects as listed in the current 2035 RTP.

Table 7-1: Construction Projects within the HOV System Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freeway</th>
<th>Limits</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>RTP Project Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Sloan Road to Blue Diamond Road</td>
<td>I-15 South Phase 2A: Widen from 6 to 8 lanes including HOV lanes</td>
<td>4364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Blue Diamond Road to Tropicana Avenue</td>
<td>I-15 South Phase 2B: Widen from 8 to 10 lanes, restripe C-D, replace concrete section between I-215 and Tropicana, add HOV lanes, replace Tropicana Interchange</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Blue Diamond Road to Sahara Avenue</td>
<td>Construct HOV direct-access ramps</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>System to system direct connector HOV ramps</td>
<td>4153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway</td>
<td>Limits</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>RTP Project Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Project Neon</td>
<td>Project Neon Phase 1: Construct 4-lane system to system direct connect HOV ramps, including add/drop lanes at Oakey Boulevard/Wyoming Avenue; widen 1-15 to accommodate HOV ramps</td>
<td>4149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Project Neon</td>
<td>Project Neon Phase 3: Construct southbound C-D roads with new bridges over Alta Drive, Charleston Boulevard, &amp; Oakey Boulevard/Wyoming Avenue</td>
<td>4161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Project Neon</td>
<td>Project Neon Phase 4: Construct northbound C-D roads with new bridges over Sahara Avenue, Oakey Boulevard/Wyoming Avenue, Charleston Boulevard, &amp; N/B off-ramps to Alta Drive</td>
<td>4162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-15</td>
<td>Project Neon</td>
<td>Project Neon Phase 5: Construct northbound I-15 Ramps</td>
<td>5017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>Eastern Avenue to Windmill Lane</td>
<td>Widen from 6 to 8 lanes</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-215</td>
<td>Airport Connector</td>
<td>Upgrade interchange</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-515</td>
<td>Charleston Boulevard to I-15/US 95</td>
<td>Widen to 10 lanes to include HOV lanes, and add new interchanges at Pecos Road, &amp; ‘F’ Street</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 95</td>
<td>Ann Road to Durango Drive</td>
<td>Widen from 6 to 8 lanes; Add auxiliary &amp; HOV lanes</td>
<td>4148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summerlin Parkway</td>
<td>CC-215 to US 95</td>
<td>Widen to 8 lanes</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Regional Transportation Plan 2013-2035, RTC, Adopted December 2012

As shown in Table 7-1, several of the projects already include HOV elements per the Original Plan. This list is to be updated in the next RTP (see Section 7.2) to incorporate the HOV improvements proposed in this Plan Update. NDOT will lead the coordination and integration of HOV facilities into the projects. All capacity improvements to freeways in the Valley should first add HOV lanes consistent with this Plan Update, prior to adding general-purpose lanes.
7.2. Regional Transportation Planning

The current RTP (adopted December 13, 2012) incorporates HOV improvements based on the recommendations from the Original Plan. Projects recommended by this Plan Update should be immediately included in the next round of RTP. NDOT should submit and/or include HOV facility projects in the next RTP by formally submitting to the RTC an application for such inclusion. NDOT should prepare that application immediately for submission to RTC based on RTC’s current timetable for project submission. RTC has currently begun the development of a 10 year Transit Development Plan; the recommendations of this Plan Update should be a consideration in that plan.

In order to facilitate the incorporation into the next RTP of the projects identified in the HOV Plan Update, a financial strategy for their implementation will be required based on the constrained funding requirement for the RTP. RTC and NDOT should agree on which agency will take the lead in developing that strategy because of the multiple jurisdictions and funding sources needed to fund successful HOV implementations. The lead agency should prepare the financial strategy consistent with the timing of preparation of the constrained funding component of the next RTP. Generally, NDOT will lead the implementation on all highways under the state’s jurisdiction.

Fully achieving the long-term potential of HOV freeway facilities depends upon the implementation of a variety of support facilities and services. These include express transit, and park-and-ride facilities that act as staging grounds for carpool formation and transit services. Regional planning in each of these functional areas and implementation of facilities as a result of those planning efforts will enhance the benefits derived from the HOV facilities included in the HOV Plan. A comprehensive planning effort covering the range of support facilities envisioned in the Las Vegas area should be undertaken under RTC’s regional leadership. RTC’s park-and-ride plan should be expanded to incorporate facilities needed to enhance the potential success of the planned HOV system. Both NDOT and RTC should promote high-occupant vehicle use.

7.3. RTC and State Transportation Improvement Programs

With inclusion of HOV facilities in the RTP, federal funding can be made available for HOV projects. Projects receiving federal funds must be included in the RTC’s Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and NDOT’s State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The process of determining the projects to include in the TIP and STIP includes establishing funding priorities among the host of potential projects competing for limited transportation funding from all levels of government.

Each jurisdiction is responsible for pursuing the inclusion in the TIP of projects on highways owned by the jurisdiction. Since most of the facilities included in the HOV plan are freeway
facilities owned by NDOT, NDOT should take the lead in identifying HOV projects for inclusion in the TIP and STIP. Priority should be given to projects that will support and expand the existing HOV facilities and the implementation of higher priorities shown in Figure 4-3. In order to provide a more extensive and connected system of HOV facilities, NDOT should encourage other jurisdictions to pursue inclusion in the TIP of both HOV roadway facilities and support facilities such as park-and-ride lots.

The Congesting Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) was created under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991, and reauthorized under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21), the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), and, most recently, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). The CMAQ program supports two important goals of the U.S. Department of Transportation: improving air quality and relieving congestion. HOV facilities are cost-effective transportation solutions that help in alleviating congestion and improving air quality; reflecting this, current federal guidance allows CMAQ funds to be used for the implementation of HOV facilities. Appendix G is the technical memorandum that justifies the use of CMAQ funds for the implementation of HOV facilities. NDOT should leverage CMAQ funds as they become available and priority should be given to projects that include implementation of HOV facilities.

7.4. Performance Measures for Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Implementation of HOV Facilities

Performance measurement of the HOV facilities should be conducted for a variety of reasons. Before and after studies can be conducted to determine whether the anticipated benefits outlined for the region’s and corridor’s goals and objectives are being met. Ongoing monitoring and periodic evaluations ensure that the project is providing the desired results and, more importantly, is helping to validate changes or enhancements in design or operational policies. Table 7-2 lists potential goals and objectives for the HOV system; Table 7-2 also lists performance measures and their corresponding thresholds to determine whether the goals and objectives are being satisfied by the implementation of the HOV facilities.

Information on vehicle volumes, travel times, occupancy trends, transit patronage, violation rates, and crash data are critical for the performance measurement of the HOV system. Data for the performance measures are typically available from local or regional modeling, traffic data, and other members of the team involved in implementation and operation of the HOV lane facilities. Data should be collected in advance of facility opening to allow for a before and after evaluation comparison. Obtaining data for 2 to 3 years alongside the general-purpose lanes (preferably prior to any construction activities) helps to form a trend analysis.
Each stakeholder agency plays a role in monitoring the performance of the HOV facilities. NDOT, RTC, law enforcement, and local agencies all have unique needs and ways to access the required data. NDOT is generally responsible for traffic data and relies on transit providers for transit information. Occupancy data generally demands dedicated, periodic field counts that are more reliable than regional occupancy data. Law enforcement would provide lane violation information. Attitudinal surveys could be conducted through NDOT or other local agencies.

A report on usage, time savings, and modifications in transit and rideshare use after the first six months of facility opening and after one year of operation is recommended. After the first year, reporting frequency should be established based on data needs, data availability, performance reporting desired by local partners, and changes in operating conditions that could justify a change in operation policy.
### Table 7-2: Performance Measures for Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Implementation of HOV Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Objectives</th>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 1: Optimize the movement of people</strong></td>
<td>Person throughput in the HOV and general-purpose lanes</td>
<td>More person throughput in the HOV lane(s) than adjacent general-purpose lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average vehicle occupancy rate within the corridor</td>
<td>Higher than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of carpools and vanpools within the corridor</td>
<td>Higher than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of bus riders on affected routes and services</td>
<td>Higher than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 2: Provide travel time savings and a more reliable trip</strong></td>
<td>Peak-period and peak-direction travel time in the HOV lane(s) and in adjacent general-purpose lanes</td>
<td>Faster travel times in the HOV lane(s) than adjacent general-purpose lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travel time reliability measures for vehicles using HOV lane(s) and adjacent general-purpose lanes</td>
<td>Lower 95th percentile travel time than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal 3: Increase bus transit efficiency</strong></td>
<td>Vehicle productivity (operating cost per vehicle mile, operating cost per passenger, operating cost per passenger-mile)</td>
<td>Better than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus schedule adherence (on-time performance)</td>
<td>Better than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Southern Nevada HOV Plan Update

#### Goals and Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Objectives</th>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4: Not adversely impact existing traffic operations</td>
<td>Total corridor throughput</td>
<td>Higher than “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speeds in HOV lane(s)</td>
<td>Higher than 45 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speeds on all lanes</td>
<td>Better or equal to “before” condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crash rate per million VMT and per million passenger miles of travel for the HOV lane(s) and adjacent general-purpose lanes</td>
<td>Better or equal to “before” condition based on crash experience (minimum 3 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 5: Secure public support</td>
<td>Observed support for the facility among users, non-users, general public, and policy makers</td>
<td>Net positive response (above 50%) based on agency, policy maker, and public feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane violation rates (percent of vehicles in the HOV lane(s) not meeting the occupancy requirement)</td>
<td>Rate of 5% or less during peak commute periods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.5. Public Outreach

High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes have been in operation on US 95 in Las Vegas for approximately six years. However, the HOV system is a small percentage of the freeway system in Las Vegas and many residents may not have used the HOV system nor have experience with it. I-15 through the central resort area has had express lanes (a type of managed lane) for approximately five years. More residents have experience with express lanes (compared to HOV lanes) since express lanes in Las Vegas are not occupancy or vehicle restrictive, and because there is more traffic volumes on I-15. Consistent with the Original Plan and this Plan Update, NDOT plans to expand the HOV lane network in southern Nevada. As such, an effective framework to gain public acceptance and understanding of HOV lanes is the key to the successful implementation of the recommendations made in this Plan Update.

Appendix H is the public outreach and public education blueprint document for the Plan Update. This document summarizes the public outreach objectives and strategies that were adopted concurrent to the development of this Plan Update. Appendix I is the technical memorandum that documents the public information and education strategy for the conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes. This document describes the Public Information Plan, including the objectives, target markets and outreach strategies in support of the planned conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes. The conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes will be an extended effort, requiring a significant amount of proactive outreach and education, as well as concerted coordination with corridor stakeholders, users and adjacent projects. The outreach and education component of this conversion should be initiated early to build understanding with the stakeholders that continues to implementation.

As part of this Plan Update, several initial elements of the public outreach and public education plan, and the public information and education strategy for the conversion of the I-15 express lanes to HOV lanes were completed. This includes, meetings with public agency stakeholders, informational tables at other projects’ public meetings, presentations at local government agencies’ boards and council meetings and public agency and private stakeholder workshops. Summaries of these public outreach efforts are included in Appendix J.

7.6. Congestion Pricing

Congestion pricing has the potential for improving the efficiency of freeway corridor operation in conjunction with HOV lane operation. Available unused HOV lane capacity could be priced and purchased for use by vehicles whose occupancy does not meet the HOV lane occupancy threshold. This would reduce general-purpose lane demand and potentially improve its operation and tolls would be set high enough to preclude HOV lane congestion. A consideration of congestion pricing involves a number of topics including tolling techniques and technologies,
pricing policies, enforcement mechanisms, physical design requirements, and management strategies that will promote an acceptable level of service in a dynamic mobility environment.

Implementation of congestion pricing through electronic tolling would require a change to the regional ITS architecture. Additionally, state laws with respect to tolling will have to be updated to accommodate potential congestion pricing projects. Congestion pricing and tolling of state roadways is not a current possibility under existing NRS. Therefore, this Plan Update did not examine congestion pricing and tolling in any detail. Congestion pricing and tolling may be considered when Nevada law allows such management measures.

Enforcement of HOV lane restrictions needs consideration in terms of fine levels, grace periods following HOV lane implementation, and enforcement. Area-wide policies that balance enforcement costs and minimization of violations should recognize that enforcement demands upon the introduction of HOV lanes in the Las Vegas area may be substantially greater than required after HOV lanes have been in operation for an extended period.